DOCUMENTING PROGRESS FOLLOWING THE JOINT EXTERNAL EVALUATION (JEE) AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE NATIONAL ACTION PLAN FOR HEALTH SECURITY (NAPHS) IN THE REPUBLIC OF SIERRA LEONE

Mission Report: 11-15 March 2019



### WHO/WHE/CPI/2019.23

### © World Health Organization 2019

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 IGO licence (CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/igo</u>).

Under the terms of this licence, you may copy, redistribute and adapt the work for non-commercial purposes, provided the work is appropriately cited, as indicated below. In any use of this work, there should be no suggestion that WHO endorses any specific organization, products or services. The use of the WHO logo is not permitted. If you adapt the work, then you must license your work under the same or equivalent Creative Commons licence. If you create a translation of this work, you should add the following disclaimer along with the suggested citation: "This translation was not created by the World Health Organization (WHO). WHO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation. The original English edition shall be the binding and authentic edition".

Any mediation relating to disputes arising under the licence shall be conducted in accordance with the mediation rules of the World Intellectual Property Organization.

**Suggested citation.** Documenting Progress following the Joint External Evaluation (JEE) and Implementation of the National Action Plan for Health Security (NAPHS) in the Republic of Sierra Leone. Mission Report, Geneva, Switzerland, 6-7 March, 2019. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018 (WHO/WHE/CPI/2019.22). Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO).

Cataloguing-in-Publication (CIP) data. CIP data are available at http://apps.who.int/iris.

**Sales, rights and licensing.** To purchase WHO publications, see <u>http://apps.who.int/bookorders</u>. To submit requests for commercial use and queries on rights and licensing, see <u>http://www.who.int/about/licensing</u>.

**Third-party materials.** If you wish to reuse material from this work that is attributed to a third party, such as tables, figures or images, it is your responsibility to determine whether permission is needed for that reuse and to obtain permission from the copyright holder. The risk of claims resulting from infringement of any third-party-owned component in the work rests solely with the user.

**General disclaimers.** The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of WHO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. Dotted and dashed lines on maps represent approximate border lines for which there may not yet be full agreement.

The mention of specific companies or of certain manufacturers' products does not imply that they are endorsed or recommended by WHO in preference to others of a similar nature that are not mentioned. Errors and omissions excepted, the names of proprietary products are distinguished by initial capital letters. All reasonable precautions have been taken by WHO to verify the information contained in this publication. However, the published material is being distributed without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied. The responsibility for the interpretation and use of the material lies with the reader. In no event shall WHO be liable for damages arising from its use.

Printed in Switzerland

# Acknowledgements

The WHO Mission Team is grateful for the support of the following for this documentation mission:

Staff from the Ministry of Health and Sanitation (MOHS), Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Food Security (MAFFS), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Office of National Security (ONS) who attended the workshop on 12-14 March 2019 and participated in discussions on the progress and next steps to build capacity in the various technical areas and attended the debriefing session at the MOHS on 15 March.

Staff from development partners working in Sierra Leone who participated in the workshop and debriefing session on 15 March, for their insights and assistance in validating the results from the workshop.

WHO Country Office team for facilitating arrangements for the workshop, providing relevant documents and context for the activities related to the various technical areas.

# Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	2
Abbreviations	4
Executive summary	6
Background	6
Methods	6
Best Practices and Progress in Implementation of the National Plan	7
Challenges	8
Recommendations for Way Forward	8
PREVENT	10
National Legislation, Policy and Financing	10
IHR Coordination, Communication and Advocacy	11
Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR)	12
Zoonotic Diseases	14
Food Safety	15
Biosafety and Biosecurity	16
Immunization	17
DETECT	18
National Laboratory System	18
Surveillance	19
Reporting	20
Human Resources (Human and Animal Health sectors)	21
RESPOND	22
Emergency Preparedness	22
Emergency Response Operations	23
Linking Public Health and Security Authorities	24
Medical Countermeasures and Personnel Deployment	25
Risk Communication	26
OTHER IHR-related Hazards and Points of Entry (PoE)	27
Points of Entry	27
Chemical Events	28
Radiation Emergencies	29
Conclusion	30
Appendix 1. Workshop Agenda	31
Appendix 2. List of Persons Met	33
Appendix 3. References	35

# Abbreviations

AEFI	Adverse Event Following Immunization
AH	Animal Health
AMR	Antimicrobial Resistance
APORA	African Partners Outbreak Response Alliance
AST	Antibiotic Susceptibility Testing
AWP	Annual Work Plan
DFID	Department for International Development (UK)
DHSE	Directorate for Health Security and Emergencies
DRM	Disaster Response Management
DVDMT	District Vaccination Data Management Tool
ECOWAS	Economic Community of West African States
ECP	Emergency Contingency Plan
EOC	Emergency Operations Center
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EVD	Ebola Virus Disease
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
FETP	Field Epidemiology Training Program
GHSA	Global Health Security Agenda
HCAI	Health care associated infections
HF	Health facility
HR	Human resources
HRH	Human resources for Health
HSE	Health Security and Emergencies
IHR	International Health Regulations 2005
IDSR	Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response
IPC	Infection Prevention and Control
JEE	Joint External Evaluation
КАР	Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices
MAFFS	Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Food Security
MCM	Medical countermeasure
MDA	Ministries, Departments, Agencies
MOHS	Ministry of Health and Sanitation
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
NAPHS	National Action Plan for Health Security
NFP	National Focal Point
NLSP	National Laboratory Strategic Plan
NSCCG	National Security Council Coordinating Group
NSSG	National Strategic Situation Group
OIE	World Organization for Animal Health
ONS	Office of National Security
PHEIC	Public Health Emergency of International Concern

Point of care testing
Point of Entry
Performance of Veterinary Services
Regional Disease Surveillance Systems Enhancement
Rapid Response Team
Rift Valley Fever
Strengthening Laboratory Management toward Accreditation
Sierra Leone
Standard Operating Procedure
State Parties Annual Reporting
Strategic Tool for Assessing Risk
Terms of Reference
Training of Trainers
Technical Working Group
United States Agency for International Development
United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
United States Dollar
Viral Hemorrhagic Fever
Vulnerability and Risk Assessment Mapping
Water, Sanitation and Hygiene
World Health Organization

# Executive summary

## Background

To implement the International Health Regulations (IHR) 2005, Member States need to build capacities to prevent, detect and respond to public health emergencies. To increase these capacities, the Government of Sierra Leone began developing a National Action Plan for Health Security (NAPHS) in 2017, informed by a Joint External Evaluation (JEE) in 2016, an IHR-PVS Bridging Workshop Roadmap (2018), by States Parties Annual Reporting (SPAR), and by other related sectoral workplans and risk assessments.

### **Objectives of the mission**

- 1. Review progress made on increasing country capacities following use of IHR Monitoring and Evaluation Framework components and NAPHS implementation.
- 2. Identify best practices, challenges, and lessons learned for the implementation of activities to increase IHR capacities that can be shared with other countries.
- 3. Identify activities for immediate implementation to increase country capacities before the next self-assessment in 2019.

### **Mission details**

A team of three facilitators from WHO, US CDC and Resolve to Save Lives reviewed evaluation and assessment reports, progress reports and the NAPHS. The team visited Sierra Leone on 11-15 March 2019. A 3-day workshop (Appendix 1) was organized by the WHO country office and included 63 participants from nine government MDAs and multiple partner agencies.

## Methods

During the workshop, teams representing each of the 19 JEE technical areas: 1) discussed progress and challenges in implementing activities since the 2016 JEE; 2) compared self-assessed capacity levels from November 2018 ( with JEE Scorecard using the JEE tool, 2<sup>nd</sup> version); and 3) identified actions that can be taken immediately, i.e. within the next 12 months, to build capacity using the newly published <u>WHO Benchmarks Tool</u>. These next steps were validated by the working groups and partners. Funding and implementation status of these activities were documented. The immediate next step activities were taken from the country's existing costed NAPHS. For a small number of new activities identified as priority next steps, but not reflected in the NAPHS, the mission team provided indicative cost estimates, using similar activities or assumptions used to cost the NAPHS.

The results from the workshop were presented to representatives from partner agencies on 15 March. During the partner meeting, participants identified whether they had already committed (planned or allocated) funds for implementation of the immediate next actions. Commitment was obtained to conduct these activities before the next self-assessment. Following the partner meeting, a high-level summary of the mission was presented to key MOHS and MAFFS staff and a high-level commitment to implement the recommended activities was agreed upon.

## Best Practices and Progress in Implementation of the National Plan

### **Best Practices**

- Cross-Sectoral engagement using the One Health approach and collaboration with partner organizations, civil society, and the private sector have resulted in improvements in planning, implementation, and emergency preparedness. This is well demonstrated by the successes in risk communications. Formal coordination mechanisms and service level agreements have resulted in strong partnerships between government sectors and development partners for IHR implementation.
- 2. The Government of Sierra Leone conducted a **self-assessment of capacities using the JEE tool** in November 2018 and plans to do this on an annual basis. This is a good way to monitor progress in IHR implementation and identify areas for further strengthening.
- The WHO Benchmarks tool was used during the workshop and well received by participants. This allowed for rapid identification of next steps or specific activities that could increase capacity levels.
- A comprehensive NAPHS was developed with multi-sector participation. The NAPHS (Total cost: USD 291m) was prioritized to **identify priority activities** (Cost: ~USD 50m). This reduces the workload for implementation and makes resource needs clearer.
- 5. **Resource mapping** was done in 2018. This activity identified resources for IHR implementation and areas for collaboration.

### **Progress Areas**

- Creating an enabling environment for implementation of IHR (2005): Restructuring within the Ministry of Health and Sanitation (MOHS) created a new Directorate for Health Security and Emergencies (DHSE), which hosts the IHR National Focal Point. The HSE Directorate now has the capacity and mandate to coordinate IHR implementation, including oversight of the NAPHS and the World Bank's Regional Disease Surveillance Systems Enhancement (REDISSE) project.
- 2. **Revision of Public Health Ordinance (1960):** a revised Public Health Bill (2019) has been finalized and is anticipated to be enacted by Parliament.
- 3. Advancements in One Health: One Health Committees have been established at the national and district levels. Strong coordination has been demonstrated by the agreement of ministries on a priority zoonotic disease list. A zoonotic surveillance unit has been created in the DHSE.
- Surveillance Systems Enhancement: The Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response (IDSR) system has excellent (>95%) timeliness and completeness of reporting. A new electronic reporting system (eIDSR) has been rolled out in 12/14 districts, and now has >85% coverage of

all government health facilities. Rapid response teams (RRT) have been able to respond to 95% of verified signals within 24 hours.

- 5. An intermediate **Field Epidemiology Training Program (FETP)** has been created, complementing the existing frontline FETP. One Health human resource capacity has been strengthened by participation of animal, environmental, and laboratory staff in the FETP. Staff have also been sent for advanced training with the Ghana FETP. Thus, health staff now have access to all three levels of field epidemiology training.
- 6. Laboratory testing for viral hemorrhagic fever (VHF) has been established at the national and subnational levels.
- 7. **Risk Communication:** There is a strong multi-sectoral approach to community engagement, internal and partner communication. Operational research is done and anticipatory messages created in preparation for public health emergencies.

## Challenges

- Workforce: The existing civil service does not include career categories or pathways for public health personnel including epidemiologists, biostatisticians, laboratory workers and animal health workers; there are major human resources gaps for animal health at the district and national levels.
- **REDISSE Implementation:** Challenges in obtaining timely approvals from the World Bank for the REDISSE annual work plan (AWP) and delays by the fiscal agent have delayed implementation of activities.
- **Sustainable Domestic Financing:** Efforts are in place for Sierra Leone to meet its Abuja Declaration commitment of 15% expenditure on the health sector; currently 11% is allocated.
- Infrastructure: Lack of consistent provision of electricity and running water at laboratories and health facilities create challenges for specimen storage, cold chain functioning, biosafety, infection prevention and control (IPC) and WASH compliance.
- Laboratory Systems: Animal health laboratory capacity lags behind human health. There is limited testing capacity for antimicrobial resistance (AMR). An integrated specimen transportation and referral system has not been operationalized, delaying clinical diagnosis and detection.

### Recommendations for Way Forward

Specific activities for implementation in each of the 19 JEE technical areas to address the challenges and increase capacity are summarized in the following section. Of the 52 activities identified, majority (29/52, 56%) are already fully or partially funded (n=23) or are no cost activities (n=6). Additional resources (1.1 million USD) are needed to implement the remaining activities.

To ensure sustainable implementation, we recommend that Sierra Leone:

- 1. Launch the NAPHS and allocate budgets for IHR implementation in all relevant ministries.
- 2. Align the NAPHS and REDISSE plans by populating the REDISSE AWP using planned NAPHS activities.
- 3. Implement the prioritized activities agreed on at the workshop, track implementation, and reassess capacity levels by self-assessment using the JEE tool annually.

# PREVENT

National Legislation, Policy and Financing				
JEE Indicators			2018 JEE Self	-assessment
P.1.1 The State has ass	Human Health	Animal Health		
legislation, policies and sectors to enable com	d administrative arrangements in all releva pliance with the IHR.	ant	2	1
P.1.2 Financing is avail	able for the implementation of IHR capaci	ties.	2	2
P.1.3 A financing mech response to public hea	1	1		
NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of February 2019		Challenge	s
<ul> <li>To ensure adequate administrative and statutory provisions are available for implementation of IHR by December 2018</li> <li>To adjust and align legislation, policies and administrative arrangements in compliance with IHR 2005 by end of 2018</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Public Health Ordinance (1960) revised and finalized, and anticipated to be enacted in 2019</li> <li>Engagement with Parliamentary Committee has begun</li> <li>Resource mapping for implementation of IHR (2005) conducted</li> <li>Contingency emergency financing mechanism exists</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Challenges</li> <li>Abuja Declaration Commitmen has increased to 11%, but still below 15% for health</li> <li>Limited domestic sources of funding (&gt;90% partner supported)</li> <li>Accessing REDISSE funds from fiscal agent has been slow; cumbersome process for accessing contingency emergency funds</li> </ul>		Commitment L%, but still lth ources of mer funds from en slow; ess for ncy

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Sensitization and engagement of Parliament and			
civil society for enactment of revised Public Health	On-going	75,510,000	REDISSE
Ordinance			
Allocate budget in relevant ministries for IHR	Not started	No Cost	NA
implementation	Not started	NO COSE	NA
Exercise to test the Rapid Deployment Interim	Dlannad	Unspecified	
Facility	Plaimed	Unspecified	REDISSE

IHR Coordination, Communication and Advocacy				
	2018 JEE Self	f-assessment		
P.2.1 A functional mechanism established for the coordination a		Human Health	Animal Health	
integration of relevant sectors in the implementation of IHR		1		
NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of February 2019	Challenge	S	
<ul> <li>To strengthen IHR NFP for effective coordination, communication and advocacy</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Restructuring within the MOHS to create a new Directorate of Health Security and Emergencies</li> <li>Designation of IHR NFP to a unit (HSE), resulting in easier coordination, 24/7 NFP availability</li> <li>Service Level Agreements to coordinate partner activities</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Challenges</li> <li>Dedicated staff needed for One Health Coordination at national and district levels</li> <li>SOPs need to be elaborated for IHR coordination across sectors</li> </ul>		

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Adapt and validate WHO recommended TOR for IHR NFP for local use	New activity	12,870,000	None
Develop and test SOPs for coordination and communication between IHR NFP and relevant sectors	Not started	73,185,800	None
Conduct simulation exercise to test NFP	See Emergency		
functioning	Response		
	Operations		

Antimicrobial Resistance (AMR)				
JEE Indicators 2018 JEE Self-asse				
P.3.1 Effective multi-sectoral coordination on AMR		Human Health	Animal Health	
P.3.2 Surveillance of AMR		3	3	
P.3.3 Infection prevention and control		1	1	
P.3.4 Optimize use of antimicrobial medicines in human and a health and agriculture	nimal	2	1	
		1	1	
NAPHS Objectives Progress as of February 2019		Challenge	S	
P.3.3 Infection prevention and control       1         P.3.4 Optimize use of antimicrobial medicines in human and animal health and agriculture       1         NAPHS Objectives       Progress as of February 2019       Challenges         NAPHS Objectives       Progress as of February 2019       Challenges         Antimicrobial Resistance       Antimicrobial Resistance       Antimicrobial Resistance         AMR       • Developed a multi-sectoral national strategic plan for AMR       • Limited laboratory testing capacity for Iaboratory capacity for AMR         NLSP and GHSA       • Developed a multi-sectoral national strategic plan for AMR       • Challenge in expanding A: testing capacity for matilize vel to regional laboratory capacity for AMR         Systems to identify and monitor AMR pathogens       • Point prevalence survey for AMR completed in 4 hospitals       • Infection Prevention and Control         • To strengthen facilities to conduct HCAI surveillance and prevention programs       • National Guidelines and Policy for IPC exist as well as National Action Plan       • Need to update national guidelines         • To establish antimicrobial resistance       • MOHS has established a national guidelines       • Non-availability of some I supplies         • To establish antimicrobial resistance stewardship to promote appropriate use of antibiotics       • District IPC committee established (14 districts)       • No capacity on animal he side – no guidelines         • District IPC compliance conducted       • Di		testing ding AST om national boratories ntibiotics country ardship been blish in <u>and Control</u> tional some IPC – limited vater at een IPC and mal health s rticularly at lities, is low		

Action <sup>^</sup>	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Assess data needs, develop collection tools, and establish reporting mechanisms including SOPs and protocols	Not started	68,010,000	None (consider Fleming Fund)
Train laboratory personnel on AST (Animal Health – national level; Human Health - regional level)	Not started	1,009,760,000	USAID funding AH annual lab training
Procure reagents for AST in human and animal health laboratories	Not started	1,117,500,000	None
Update human health national guidelines	Planned (April 2019)	83,380,000	US CDC/WHO
Develop guidelines and SOPs for IPC for animal health (AH)	Not started	272,422,000*	None

<sup>^</sup>Partners also recommended considering as an immediate action the approval of the protocol for surgical site infection (SSI) surveillance in 4 hospitals

\*estimated cost

Zoonotic Diseases					
JEE Indicators			2018 JEE Self	-assessment	
P.4.1 Coordinated surveillance systems in place in the animal health &			Human Health	Animal Health	
priorities P 4 2 Mechanisms for responding to i	infectious and potential zoonot	ic	2	2	
diseases established and functional			2	2	
NAPHS Objectives         Progress as of February 2019         Challenges		es			
<ul> <li>Establish Zoonotic surveillance systems for 5 or more zoonotic diseases/ pathogens of greatest public health concern by 2022</li> <li>Increase animal health workforce capacity at national level and in at least 80% of district levels by 2022</li> <li>Establish a multi-sectoral mechanism for coordinated response to outbreaks of zoonotic diseases by human and animal sectors at national and district levels by 2022</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Identified priority zoonotic diseases between MOHS and MAFFS</li> <li>Established One Health Committee</li> <li>Discovery of zoonotic viruses, including Ebola, RVF, and Marburg viruses in animal hosts</li> <li>Developed One-Health training materials for joint human-animal health response</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>O</li> <li>h:</li> <li>n</li> <li>di</li> <li>di</li> <li>is</li> <li>Li</li> <li>le</li> <li>tc</li> </ul>	Challenges One Health Coordination has been less active at both national and district levels due to staffing issues Delays in work because of REDISSE funds disbursement/approval issues Limited capacity at district level of animal health staff to conduct investigations		

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Train staff (human, animal and environmental health sectors) on surveillance guidelines, SOPs and operational plan – Conduct TOT and cascading trainings and disseminate materials	Ongoing	4,016,100,000	Funding from multiple sources

Food Safety					
JEE Indicators			2018 JEE Self	-assessment	
P.5.1 Surveillance systems in place for the detection and monitoring of			Human Health	Animal Health	
foodborne diseases and food contamination P.5.2 Mechanisms are established and functioning for the response and			1	1	
ma	management of food safety emergencies			1	1
	NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of February 2019		Challenges	5
•	To establish food safety surveillance and response mechanisms with 50% reporting from identified reporting sites	<ul> <li>Food and Feed Safety Act was passed, provides a pathway to access European markets</li> <li>Developed a checklist for most food processing activities and began implementation</li> <li>SOP developed and validated by ONS for destruction of unsafe food</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The l shou after Act v</li> <li>Coor agen food</li> <li>No fo mon</li> <li>Lack testi</li> </ul>	Challenges Prood Safety Authority Food Safety Authority Food Safety Authority Food and Feed Safety was passed Fordination of the responsible encies and ministries for d safety food contamination nitoring system is in place k of capacity for food safety ting	

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Advocate to the Executive (Presidency) on the			
need to sign the bill to establish the Food and	New activity	No cost	NA
Feed Safety Authority and appoint a Head			
Develop a food safety emergency response plan	New activity	387,485,000*	None

\*estimated cost

Biosafety and Biosecurity				
JEE Ind	licators		2018 JEE Self	-assessment
P.6.1 Whole-of-government biosafet	Human Health	Animal Health		
all sectors (including human, animal a P.6.2 Biosafety and biosecurity training	and agriculture facilities) ng and practices in all relevant		1	1
sectors (including human, animal and		1	1	
NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of Feb 2019		Challenge	es
<ul> <li>Establish and enact legislation and regulations on biosafety and biosecurity.</li> <li>Establish regulatory framework for laboratory practice in line with the national laboratory strategy</li> <li>To develop human resource capacity to address biosafety and biosecurity issues nationwide.</li> <li>To create new and upgrade existing infrastructure to meet standard biosafety and biosecurity and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Health safety and policy guidelines document has been developed, validated and distributed</li> <li>Ongoing training for biosafety/biosecurity officers in regional lab</li> <li>On-going development of regulation on biotechnology, including biosafety and biosecurity following ECOWAS meeting</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ti</li> <li>bi</li> <li>Si</li> <li>si</li> <li>pi</li> <li>cu</li> <li>N</li> <li>S0</li> <li>st</li> <li>Bi</li> <li>no</li> </ul>	Challenges raining and capacity puilding of mid-level engineers to work as biomedical engineers ierra Leone is not a ignatory to the Catalina protocol but advocacy is currently undergoing Need to align laboratory iOPs with ISO 15190 tandards Biosafety guidelines have not been developed	

Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
New activity	225,542,000*	None
New activity	189,042,000*	None
	Status New activity New activity	StatusCost (SLL)New activity225,542,000*New activity189,042,000*

\*estimated cost

Immunization					
JEE In	dicators		2018 JEE Self	-assessment	
P.7.1 Vaccine coverage (measles) as part of national programme			Human Health	Animal Health	
P.7.2 National vaccine access and de	3				
2					
NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of Feb 2019		Challeng	es	
<ul> <li>To achieve and sustain at least 95% coverage of measles second dose coverage per year</li> <li>To strengthen the capacity of District Health Management Teams (DHMTs) for improved vaccine access and delivery</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Completed training on data tools (DVDMT) for immunizations at district level</li> <li>Coverage and equity assessment ongoing</li> <li>Procurement of motorbikes for outreach activities</li> <li>Coordination with HSE on measles outbreak response</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>N</li> <li>p</li> <li>r</li> <li>c</li> <li>f</li> <li>N</li> <li>a</li> <li>V</li> <li>f</li> </ul>	Challenges MCV1 coverage 80%; particularly low in hard-to- reach areas that are long distances from health facilities Non-operational cold chain at many health facilities Vaccine stock-outs at health facilities		

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Complete the assessment of coverage and	Ongoing	Unspecified	Gavi
equity in 'hard-to-reach' areas		onspecified	Gavi
Identify temperature monitoring devices to			
measure functioning of cold chain equipment	Not started	No cost	NA
at HF (identify devices for procurement)			
Implement existing training module for health			Cavilnaad ta
workers to recognize, report, and manage AEFI	Not started	32,869,428,000	Gavi(need to
(quarterly supportive supervision by districts)			comm)

# DETECT

National Laboratory System					
JEE Ind	2018 JEE Self	f-assessment			
D 1 1 Laboratory testing for detection of priority dispases			Human Health	Animal Health	
D 1 2 Specimon referral and transpor	t system		4	1	
D.1.2 Effective notional diagnostic no	tued		3	1	
D.1.4 Laboratory guality system	twork		3	1	
D.1.4 Laboratory quality system			2	1	
NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of February 2019		Challeng	es	
<ul> <li>To expand lab capacity at the national reference lab to be able to conduct 6 of 10 WHO core tests</li> <li>To institute an effective system for collection, packaging and transport of biological specimens</li> <li>To develop or acquire technologies to optimize POCT at all levels (human and animal health)</li> <li>Institute a national quality assurance system for human, animal, environment and food safety.</li> <li>To ensure the inclusion and functionality of a National Laboratory Regulatory Board.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>New directorate at MOHS for laboratory and blood services with units focusing on clinical and public health laboratory services</li> <li>SLMTA training has begun</li> <li>Guidelines for specimen referral reviewed and validated</li> <li>Central veterinary laboratory established with FAO support</li> </ul>	•    	Reagent stock- nadequate lab ncluding water electricity, relia partners for rea Refresher train for lab staff; lin resources inclus staff and data n No formal syste specimen referral/transp priority disease HIV/TB Limited human for animal heal	outs and facilities r and ance on agents ings needed nited human iding lab managers em for ort for es other than resources lth lab	

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Procure solar power equipment for 6 national			
labs including human, animal, and	Not started	2,205,000,000	REDISSE
environmental health labs			
Develop national procurement plan for priority			
reagents and improve supply chain	Not started	480,588,000	REDISSE (partial)
management			
Establish a transport system by contracting a			
courier to transport specimens to appropriate	Not started	1,946,880,000	REDISSE
labs			

Su	Surveillance				
JEE Indicators			2018 JEE Self	-assessment	
			Human Health	Animal Health	
0.2	Survemance systems			3	3
D.2	2.2 Use of electronic tools				
				3	1
D.2	2.3 Analysis of surveillance data				
				4	2
	NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of February 2019		Challen	ges
• • • •	Sustain existing human surveillance systems Strengthen animal health surveillance systems. Integrate animal and human health surveillance systems Develop, integrate and maintain an interoperable, interconnected, electronic real- time reporting system, for public health and/or veterinary surveillance systems at all levels by 2022 Strengthen capacity for data analysis at all levels by 2022 Enhance the performance of	<ul> <li>IDSR officers active in all districts; eIDSR in 12 of 14 districts; with 97% timeliness and completeness of reporting</li> <li>Animal health surveillance established from chiefdom to national levels</li> <li>Veterinary epidemiology unit in MAFFS</li> </ul>	•	Human surve coverage is li private healt Data quality in 2017 show accuracy of n surveillance o Limited huma at all levels (H animal) Few animal h workers train analysis	eillance mited in h facilities - assessment ved 60% hational data an resources human and health hed on data
	the syndromic surveillance system and expertise by 2022				

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Build reporting capacity: identify and train staff			
on reporting at private health facilities and	Planned	1,526,260,000	WHO (partial)
large hospitals			
Extend data analysis capacity to district level			US CDC/WHO
for human health; build data analysis capacity	Not started	4,332,672,000	(for human
at national and district level for animal health	NUL SLALLEU		health training at
with refresher trainings			district level)
Develop and validate a data quality assurance			
module and estimate resources required for	Ongoing	210 202 000*	
training and implementation at district level	Ongoing	510,292,000	
(consultancy + workshop)			
*estimated cost			

\*estimated cost

Reporting			
	JEE Indicators	2018 JEE Self	f-assessment
D 3.1 System for efficient reporting to FAO, OIF and WHO		Human Health	Animal Health
, D.3.2 Reporting network an	d protocols in country	3	3
	2	2	
NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of February 2019	Challe	enges
<ul> <li>By 2022, strengthen capacity to identify any potential PHEIC and report within 24 hours to WHO, FAO &amp; OIE.</li> <li>Develop &amp; establish protocols, processes, regulations and legislation governing reporting to be implemented by 2020.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Legislation includes multi-sectoral information sharing and reporting to WHO/OIE</li> <li>High level of completeness and timeliness (&gt;95%) from district to national level</li> <li>Improved diagnostic capacity to aid reporting</li> <li>Community Animal Health Officers (CHAO) can report events, which facilitates joint outbreak investigation and response</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Quality and reporting</li> <li>Sustainabil electronic reporting i health</li> <li>Capacity fo the animal</li> </ul>	d accuracy of ity of tools for n human or reporting in sector

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Conduct a Simulation Exercise to demonstrate	See emergency		
capacity to file a report within 24 hours of	response		
identifying a potential PHEIC	operations		

Ηι	Human Resources (Human and Animal Health sectors)				
		JEE Indicators	2018 JEE Sel	f-assessment	
D.4	D 4 1 An un-to-date multisectoral workforce strategy is in place			Animal Health	
			1	1	
D.4	1.2 Human resources are	available to effectively implement IRR	2	1	
D.4	I.3. In-service trainings ar	e available	2	2	
D.4	I.4 FETP or other applied	epidemiology training program is in place	Δ	Δ	
			-		
•	Establish a Multidisciplinary Public Health HR capacity at National and District levels by 2022	<ul> <li>Assessment for human resources requirements in human and animal sectors completed</li> <li>Intermediate FETP ongoing with EPA and MAFFS participation</li> <li>5 cohorts of FETP-Frontline are</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Initial Hun for Health strategy in clinical, bu health nee</li> <li>Existing civ</li> </ul>	nan Resources (HRH) Included It not public eds vil service	
•	Establish three levels of FETP/FETPV/FELTP (Basic, Intermediate and Advanced) in Sierra Leone or through an agreement with another country by 2022 Adapt the national healthcare workforce strategy to include public health professionals in accordance with the One Health approach by 2022	<ul> <li>completed</li> <li>Developed draft of IHR/IDSR preservice/in-service curriculum</li> <li>128 Community Health Officers trained</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>system do epidemiole key public</li> <li>Few traine veterinaria in country career pat them in go</li> <li>EPA staff r all districts</li> </ul>	es not include ogists or other health roles ed ans available , and no hway for overnment not present in 5	

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Add public health workforce into the HRH			
strategy as an addendum (consultant +	Ongoing	278,192,000	USCDC
workshops)			
Develop investment case document for HR for	Not started	190 042 000	Nono
Human health (consultant for 2 months)	NOT STALLED	189,042,000	None
In-service training for House Officers and	Ongoing	040 410 000*	
Community Health Officers	Ungoing	949,410,000	USCDC
*estimated cost			

# RESPOND

En	Emergency Preparedness					
	JEE Ind	licators		2018 JEE Self	-assessment	
R.1.1 Strategic emergency risk assessments conducted and emergency			Human Health	Animal Health		
R.1	.2 National multisectoral multi-ha	zard emergency preparedness		2		
me	asures, including emergency respo	onse plans, are developed,				
implemented and tested			1			
	NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of Feb 2019		Challenge	es	
•	To have an all hazards plan for the health sector that is 'one health compliant' by 2018 To have a system by 2018 for stockpiling of supplies and a mechanism for faster access of resources during emergencies. To have a comprehensive vulnerability and risk assessment with resource mapping and mobilization for identified hazards by 2019	<ul> <li>STAR risk profiling done to identify and prioritize hazards at district and national levels (2017)</li> <li>VRAM tool validated in 2018; data collection will commence in April 2019</li> <li>National DRM plan available – Simulation Exercise conducted in 2018</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>N</li> <li>cc</li> <li>p</li> <li>v</li> <li>sc</li> <li>cl</li> <li>st</li> <li>st</li> <li>a</li> <li>d</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Challenges</li> <li>Need to create a comprehensive all-hazards preparedness plan, from VRAM, which includes prioritized hazards from all sectors (human, animal, chemical, radiological)</li> <li>Need to identify required supplies based on national multi-hazard response plan and SOPs for stockpiling and</li> </ul>		

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Develop a One Health-compliant all hazards plan:			
stakeholder mapping and	Not started	44,980,000	DFID/WHO
engagement/orientation			
Conduct a workshop to develop a list of required	Ongoing	12 240 000	
supplies for stockpiling	Oligoling	15,540,000	USAID
Workshop to validate and adopt SOP and tools	Not started	22 440 000	
for quarterly stock checks of stockpile items	NOT STALLED	25,440,000	USAID

Emergency Response Operation	IS			
JEE Ind	licators		2018 JEE Self	-assessment
			Human Health	Animal Health
R.2.1 Emergency response coordinat R.2.2 Emergency operations center (		4		
plans R.2.3 Emergency exercise manageme	ent program		3	
			2	
NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of February 2019		Challeng	es
<ul> <li>To have surge capacity staff available and prepared to respond at the various levels by 2018</li> <li>Raise the proportion of EOC operations budget supported through core government funding to 50%</li> <li>Put in place SOPs for EOC emergency operation functions by 2018</li> <li>To have epidemiology capacity in both animal and human health by 2018</li> <li>Strengthen EOC Emergency response operations by 2018</li> <li>Establish a national outbreak preparedness and case management guidelines for epidemic prone diseases by 2018</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increased commitment of multi-sectoral/MDAs and international partners at weekly meetings since EVD outbreak</li> <li>Support from partners to ensure that response can occur at district level, including biological and non-biological hazards</li> <li>Validated SOP at seaport POE for human remains</li> <li>Case management guidelines are available for EVD, Lassa fever and cholera.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>N</li> <li>f</li> <li>f&lt;</li></ul>	Need for the go to take leaders inancing for En Preparedness a Response activ Coordination m at district level strengthened Need for a data subject matter consultation or hazards	overnment hip of mergency and ities needanisms need to be abase of experts for priority

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Reactivate steering committee to develop			
objectives, essential functions and core	Planned	201 240 000*	
components; oversee the EOC and monitor and	Flatifieu	201,840,000	USCDC
evaluate its use			
Conduct full-scale EVD exercise	Planned	Unspecified	USCDC
Develop a database of subject matter experts	In progress		
for consultation on priority hazards	(contract	Unspecified	Funded
	awarded)		

\*estimated cost

Linking Public Health and Security Authorities				
JEE Ind	licators		2018 JEE Self	-assessment
R.3.1 Public health and security authorities (e.g. law enforcement, border control, customs) linked during a suspect or confirmed biological, chemical or radiological event			Human Animal Health Health 1	
NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of Feb 2019		Challenge	es
<ul> <li>To establish an MOU to govern joint planning and response to public health emergencies by public health and security authorities by 2018</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>NSSG and NSCCG coordination committees established and functional, with broad multi-sectoral participation with ONS leadership</li> <li>District disaster management committees</li> <li>Capacity building for linking public health and security authorities for health and non-health staff (e.g., APORA)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Prinat</li> <li>ar</li> <li>Fc</li> <li>ac</li> <li>ac</li> <li>cc</li> <li>ef</li> </ul>	revious legislat clude linking s uthorities with nd chemical ev ormal MOUs fo ollaboration no cross sectors ( ollaboration ha ffective in past	tion did not ecurity radiation rents or ot in place ad hoc as been )

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Formalize mechanisms for collaboration: written protocol or MOU that institutionalizes interactions between relevant multi-sectoral agencies	Not started	270,046,000	None
Monitor enactment of revised Public Health Ordinance, which includes chemical and radiological hazards	Ongoing	No cost	NA

Medical Countermeasures and Personnel Deployment				
JEE Indicators			2018 JEE Self-assessment	
R.4.1 System in place for activating and coordinating medical			Human Health	Animal Health
countermeasures during a pul R.4.2 System in place for activ	lic health emergency ting and coordinating health perso	nnel	1	
during a public health emerge R.4.3 Case management proce	icy dures implemented for IHR relevan	t	1	
hazards				
NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of Feb 2019		Challenge	S
<ul> <li>A one-health compliant strategic national stockpile medical commodities for u in public health emergenc is established in Sierra Lec by 2020</li> <li>Establish a system for sending and receiving hea personnel during a public health emergency</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MCM TWG has benefited from training from US CDC and zero- draft plan has been created</li> <li>Successful deployment of personnel during measles outbreaks</li> <li>6 "Hospitainers" with isolation capacity in city of Bo</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>MO oth dur</li> <li>Nee rost (tec staf</li> <li>Nee for (fin dev EVE</li> </ul>	Us need to be er agencies' co ing emergencie ed to draft a pe er for deployn chnical and nor f) ed to draft a fo personnel dep alize procedur eloped and us presponse)	drafted for oordination es ersonnel nent n-technical rmal system loyment es that were ed during

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Stakeholder meeting for validation of the draft	Planned for	unenceified	
MCM plan	June 2019	unspecifieu	
Validation meeting for MOUs for MCM	Planned for	unenceified	
stakeholders	June 2019	unspecified	
Create and maintain a database of in-country	Planned	25 280 000	
personnel that can be deployed	Platifieu	55,280,000	REDISSE
Formalize a deployment system for technical and	Not started	270 724 000	Nono
non-technical personnel	NOT STALLED	570,734,000	None

Risk Communication		Risk Communication				
JEE Indicators		2018 J asses	EE Self- sment			
		Human Health	Animal Health			
emergencies	rancy risk communication	4				
R.5.3 Public communication for emergencies		4				
R.5.4 Communication engagement with affected	communities	5				
R.5.5 Addressing perceptions, risky behaviours a	and misinformation	3				
NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of February 2019	Chall	enges			
<ul> <li>To complete EOC communications strategic plan by 2018.</li> <li>To develop a training plan by 2018 that will guide capacity building activities in risk communication.</li> <li>To have a formal mechanism in place by 2018 to coordinate communication with the private sector during an emergency</li> <li>To have risk communications focal persons in each district by 2018 (health sector) that will serve as communication link between the district and the community</li> <li>To establish a dedicated budget line by 2018 for addressing risk communications response in MOHS &amp; MAFFS</li> <li>To enhance MOHS capacity to disprove rumour during public health emergencies</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Risk communication mechanisms tested and effective during measles outbreak</li> <li>Focus groups conducted for KAP on Ebola ring vaccination (anticipatory)</li> <li>Establishment of media monitoring unit and feedback system for affected populations</li> <li>Open door policy with media and civil society</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Insuffici resourc commu engagel affected commu hard-to</li> <li>Lack of domest</li> <li>Need to MOU w sector ( TERA SI for eme messag</li> </ul>	ient es at nity level for ment with d nities in -reach areas sustainable ic budget o establish ith private Orange) for MS system ergency ing			

Action*	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Operational support for community engagement meetings	Ongoing	57,600,000	Unknown
Procurement of equipment (e.g., megaphones) for disseminating messages at community level	Ongoing	Unspecified	Unknown
Finalize MOU with Orange	Ongoing	No cost	NA

\*validation of One Health risk communications strategy is a funded, ongoing activity with Breakthrough Action as a partner

# OTHER IHR-related Hazards and Points of Entry (PoE)

Points of Entry				
JEE Ind	licators		2018 JEE Self	f-assessment
Def 1 Deutine constitute established at a sinte of entry.		Human Health	Animal Health	
PoE 2 Effective public health response	e at points of entry		2	
POE.2 Effective public health response at points of entry			2	
NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of Feb 2019		Challeng	es
<ul> <li>To sustain routine 24-hour port health services in 4 POEs by 2022</li> <li>To develop, implement and test emergency contingency plans (ECPs) at 4 POEs by 2022</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Trained POE staff on IHR and IDSR for disease surveillance including chemical tracking</li> <li>Developed SOPs and contingency plans for airport and seaport POEs</li> <li>Assessed IHR core capacities at POE including border mapping</li> <li>On-going POE surveillance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>N</li> <li>fd</li> <li>T</li> <li>tr</li> <li>m</li> <li>N</li> <li>n</li> <li>n</li> <li>re</li> <li>N</li> <li>N</li> <li>N</li> <li>N</li> <li>N</li> <li>N</li> <li>N</li> <li>N</li> <li>N</li> <li>S</li> </ul>	o contingency or ground cross ransportation of ravelers from P nedical facility ational strateg ot integrated in ational emerge esponse plan eed more stru OEs and HR to eed to link PO ational surveill ystems	plan exists sings of sick POE to gy for POEs is nto the ency cture at staff them E and ance

### Immediate Next Steps

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Develop contingency plan for ground crossings	New activity	310,292,000*	None
Update existing SOPs for POEs to conform with new cross-border agreements	Planned	271,425,000	WHO
Integrate POE plans into national emergency plan	New activity	310,292,000*	None

\*estimated cost

Chemical Events				
JEE Indicators				f-assessment
CE 1 Mechanisms established and functioning for detecting and			Human Health	Animal Health
responding to chemical events or em	ergencies	ents	1	
NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of Feb 2019		Challeng	es
<ul> <li>To establish a national chemical surveillance and response system capable of real time reporting at 50% by 2022</li> <li>To develop legal instruments for effective implementation and enforcement of chemical events to support integrated national environment and health surveillance systems by 2022.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Chemical legislation drafted</li> <li>Developed a database of chemicals coming into Sierra Leone with data from customs</li> <li>Inventory of obsolete pesticides completed</li> <li>Responded to chemical spill events</li> <li>Trained points of entry staff (but high turnover rate)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>H</li> <li>Cl</li> <li>pa</li> <li>La</li> <li>m</li> <li>ha</li> <li>D</li> <li>cu</li> <li>in</li> <li>H</li> </ul>	azardous chen ot available in hemical legisla assed ow awareness akers and pub azards posed b ata transmissio ustoms on che nportation has uman resource	nical disposal country ition not yet of policy olic of by chemicals on from mical s stopped e constraints

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Follow up with law office to move chemical legislation forward	Ongoing	239,650,000 (partial costs)	Need resources
Develop and validate chemical incidents surveillance guidelines	Not started	1,203,200,000	None
Raise awareness/community outreach on chemical hazards	Started	unspecified	Global Environment Facility (need to confirm)

Radiation Emergencies				
JEE Indicators			2018 JEE Self-assessment	
RE.1 Mechanisms established and functioning for detecting and		Human Health	Animal Health	
responding to radiological and nuclear e RE.2 Enabling environment in place for	emergencies management of radiological and		1	
nuclear emergencies			2	
NAPHS Objectives	Progress as of Feb 2019		Challer	nges
<ul> <li>To strengthen surveillance and response to nuclear and radiological hazards with 50% routine reporting from identified nuclear and radiological hazard sites by 2022</li> <li>To develop, implement and test a national radiation emergency response plan by 2022.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Able to detect open sources of radiation &amp; control/retrieve</li> <li>Capacity to regulate radiation sources (importation, return after useful lifespan)</li> <li>Developed inventory of radiation sources (those under regulatory control)</li> </ul>	•	Low awaren collaboratio customs off National reg aligned with internationa conventions No radiation managemen Limited hum resources Radiation en processes a integrated in emergency managemen	ness and n with icials gulations not al distribution nergency re not nto national nt plan

Action	Status	Cost (SLL)	Funding Source
Develop radiation emergencies plan	Not started	905,262,000	Need resources and TA
Develop risk assessment for radiation	Not started	1,084,242,000	Need resources and TA
Support radiation management organizational structures and facilities	Not started	676,200,000	Need resources

# Conclusion

Sierra Leone has made excellent progress in implementing activities since the 2016 JEE. The team noted that the 2018 self-assessment scores do not capture the progress made due to changes in the attributes for some of the JEE indicators in the 2<sup>nd</sup> version compared to the version used during the JEE in 2016. For tracking progress going forward, use of the JEE 2<sup>nd</sup> edition along with the new WHO Benchmarks tool may be more useful. Reporting on the actual activities done and new milestones reached, as done during the workshop, provides a better picture than just a comparison of JEE scores. In some areas there is progress through achievement of additional attributes although the score has not changed.

Consensus among the technical working groups and development partners was reached on 52 identified activities for immediate implementation to increase IHR capacities by the next self-assessment. Figure 1 shows the implementation status of these activities as of 15 March 2019. To continue making progress it is important for steps to be undertaken to address systemic challenges such as improving REDISSE fund utilization and implementation, increasing domestic funding in accordance with the Abuja declaration and addressing the human resource issues in the MOHS and MAFFS.



Figure 1. Implementation status as of March 2019 of identified immediate activities to increase IHR capacities

To build further sustainable capacities, Sierra Leone can:

- 1. Launch the NAPHS and allocate budgets for implementation in all relevant sectors.
- 2. Implement the agreed activities (continue or start funded activities and mobilize resources for unfunded activities), track progress quarterly using the tracking tool developed, and re-assess capacity in October 2019 using the JEE scorecard and identify NAPHS priorities for 2020.
- 3. Align the NAPHS and REDISSE plans by using the NAPHS to create REDISSE Annual Workplans. Since REDISSE AWPs are due for submission in November, use the NAPHS implementation priorities and align with resources to create an aligned 2020 REDISSE annual workplan.
- 4. Continue doing annual assessments, identification and implementation of priority activities to continuously build health security capacities.

# Appendix 1. Workshop Agenda

## Documenting Progress following the JEE and NAPHS in Sierra Leone 12-14 March 2019 Golden Tulip Hotel, Freetown

### Workshop Objectives:

- Review progress made on increasing country capacities following IHR Monitoring and Evaluation Framework components and NAPHS implementation
- Identify immediate activities for implementation to increase country capacities before the next self-assessment
- Sensitize sectors/departments in the need to integrate immediate activities into their workplans
- Identify which prioritized activities are implemented, ready for implementation, need to be integrated into sector workplans, or require additional funding
- Identify best practices, challenges, models, and lessons learned in the implementation of activities to increase IHR capacities
- Based on the experience of Sierra Leone, identify and disseminate the best practices, models, and lessons that can be shared with other countries
- To identify Sierra Leone priority areas for further WHO and partner support

Time	Activity/Technical Areas	Key Stakeholders / Participants
08:30-09:00	Meeting with IHR NFP	Director HSE, Team Leads for
		Surveillance, EPR, Laboratory, POEs,
		Zoonosis and Risk Communication
	Registration of Participants	c/o MOHS HSE Secretariat
09:00-09:30	Opening Remarks	Partners: USCDC, USAID, FAO, WHO
		MDAs: EPA, MAFFS, MOHS
09:30-09:45	Introduction of Participants	
09:45-10:00	Overview of the Mission	WHO Mission Team
10:00-10:15	Tea Break	
10:15-13:00	Group Discussion: IHR NFP and M&E	MOHS: Technical Directorates, Finance
	<ul> <li>National legislation, policy and finance</li> </ul>	and HR departments
	IHB coordination	IHR NFP
		Public Health Law consultants
	• Reporting	MAFFS
	<ul> <li>Workforce development</li> </ul>	OIE delegate for SL
		Ministry of Finance
		ONS
		FELTP Advisor and mentors
		Partners: WHO, FAO, USCDC, USAID,
		PHE, GIZ & others
13:00-14:00	Lunch Break	
14:00-14:30	Group Discussion: Points of Entry	MOHS: National surveillance, POEs,
		DEH

#### Tuesday, 12 March 2019

		Livestock/MAFFS & EPA & ONS Immigration & SLCAA
14:30-16:30	Group Discussion: Zoonosis, Food Safety and AMR	MAFFS MOHS: Surveillance, IPC, AMR, Lab EPA & ONS
16:30-17:00	Tea Break and Closure	

## Wednesday, 13 March 2019

Time	Activity/Technical Areas	Key Stakeholders / Participants	
08:30-10:30	Group Discussion: Surveillance and	MOHS: National surveillance	
	Laboratory Systems	MAFFS: Animal health surveillance	
	Surveillance systems	CPHRL	
	Biosafety and Biosecurity	Teko Animal Health Lab	
		Njara University	
	National Laboratory System		
10.30-11.00	Tea B	Break	
11:00 12:00			
11:00-13:00	Group Discussion: Immunization	MOHS: EPI	
		WHO: EPI	
		UNICEF	
13:00-14:00	Lunch Break		
14:00-15:30	Group Discussion: Chemical Events and	EPA	
	Radiation Emergencies	Radiation Protection Authority	
15:30-17:00	Group Discussion: IPC and Clinical	MOHS: Clinical Services, IPC	
	management	Director, Veterinary Services	
		Teko Animal Health Lab	
17:00	Tea Break and Closure		

## Thursday, 14 March 2019

Time	Activity/Technical Areas	Key Stakeholders / Participants	
08:30-10:30	Group Discussion: Risk Communication	MOHS: Communication	
		MAFFS: Communication	
		EPA: Communication	
10:30-11:00	Теа В	Ireak	
11:00-13:00	Group Discussion: Pubic Health Emergency	MOHS: EOC	
	Preparedness	ONS	
	<ul> <li>Emergency preparedness</li> </ul>	Sierra Leone Red Cross	
	Emergency Response Operations	RSLAF	
	Medical Countermeasures and		
	personnel deployment		
	Linking public health and security		
	authorities		
42.00.44.00			
13:00-14:00	Lunch Break		
14:00-16:30	Review of the summary of findings	WHO Mission Team	
	Plenary by themes		
	Summary		
16:30-17:00	Tea Break and Closure		

# Appendix 2. List of Persons Met

#### **Ministry of Health and Sanitation**

Mr Abdul R.M. Fofanah	Senior Permanent Secretary
Dr. M.A. Vandi	DHSE Director
Mohamed S. Bah	Public Health Superintendent
Mohamed B. Balloh	NSO
Abdul Bangura	Country Director, Traditional Medicine
Moses Batema	Deputy MD, NMSA
Patrick M. Bundu	Public Health Superintendent
Andrew Charles	Administrative Assistant
Ben Coller	HED Officer
Melvin Conteh	M and E Staff
Mohamed Dumbuyo	Planning Specialist
Mukeh Fahnbulleh	Program Manager, DHSE
Musa M. Feika	Administrative Staff
Jimmy C. Gallia	Environmental Officer
Sahr Gbandeh	EOC Operations Coordinator
Doris Harding	Public Health Laboratory Manager
Dr. Kilinda Imanuel	Consultant
Alhassan Jalloh	HED Communications Staff
Fatmata B D Jalloh	Laboratorian
Dr. Joseph Sam Kanu	NDS, Department Manager, Surveillance
Aminata T. Koroma	SPHS
Emile Koroma	DHRH
Dr. Zikan Koroma	Clinical Lab Program Manager
Dr. Victor Lamin	Researcher
Patrick Lansana	Communications Staff
Edward Metzger	Communications Staff
Cyril Pat-Cole	Administrative Assistant, Laboratory
Christiana Roberts	HEO
Aminata Saccoh	Operations Staff
Dr. Tom Sesay	EPI Program Manager
Ansumana Sillah	EHS Director
Harold Thomas	Communications Head

### Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Food Security

Dr. Amadu T. Jalloh	Director, Livestock and Veterinary Unit
Mohamed M. Koroma	Communications Officer
Dr. Amara Leno	Veterinary Officer
Alie Mansaray	Senior Agriculture Officer
Niccolo Nerigg	Advisor

### **Environmental Protection Agency**

Hamidu D. Mansaray	Chemical Officer
Fatmata Bakar Sesay	AHOD/IEC

### **Office for National Security**

Sabiatu Bakar	ARO
Tamba F. Nyaka	HOD/CC

#### Others

Amadu Jogor Bah SL SE		SL SB, D	Deputy Director	
Patrick Musa		SLMET, Head of Climatology		
Develop	ment Partners			
Breakth	rough Action:			
	James S. Fofanah	l	Chief of Party	
	Edson E. Whitney	/	Senior Technical Specialist	
FAO:				
	Dr Germain Bobo	)	ECTAD Team Leader	
GIZ:				
	Duraman Conate	h	Technical Advisor	
ICAF.	Dr. Desalegn Held	amo	Planning Advisor	
	Dr. Desdiegh nen	cino		
NSRPA:				
	Abdulai A. Kargbo	D	Head, Regulatory Control	
	Philip Johnson		Accountant	
PHE Freetown:				
	Emmanuel Azore		PHE Laboratory Advisor	
Ded Green				
Neu cros	Baymond Alnha		Cn3 Manager	
USAID:				
	Monica Dea		Health Officer	
	Dayo S. Walters		Consultant	
USAID/PREDICT:				
	James Bangura		Coordinator	
05 000.	Dr. Bridgette Gle	ason	Surveillance and Program Lead	
	Hassan Benva		IPC/WRD Specialist	
	Sandi A. Genda		FM Specialist	
	Dr. Marta Guerra	1	FFTP Resident Advisor	
WHO Country Office:				
	Alexander Chimb	aru	OIC	
	Dr. Charles Njugu	ina	HSE Coordinator	
	Dr. Claudette Am	iuza	EPR/NPO	
	Dr. James Bunn		Case Management and Laboratory	
	Dr. Wilson Gacha	ri	Epidemiologist	
	Saffea Gborie		Communications	
	Dr. Thomas Igbu		EPI, Team Leader	
	Mugagga Malimb	00	Data Manager	
	Phili Raftery		Laboratory Advisor	

# Appendix 3. References

- 1. Joint External Evaluation of IHR Core Capacities of the Republic of Sierra Leone. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2017. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- 2. Joint external evaluation tool: International Health Regulations (2005), second edition. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2018. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO
- 3. National Bridging Workshop on the International Health Regulations (IHR) and the OIE Performance of Veterinary Services (PVS) Pathway Report, 31 July-02 August 2018, Freetown, Sierra Leone
- 4. Report on the Sierra Leone Self-Assessment 2018 JEE Scorecard, November 2018, Freetown, Sierra Leone
- 5. Sierra Leone, National Action Plan for Health Security, 2018 2022. Ministry of Health and Sanitation, Sierra Leone
- 6. Sierra Leone State Party Self-Assessment Annual Report for 2018
- 7. WHO benchmarks for International Health Regulations (IHR) capacities. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2019. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.