## **Steps before prequalification**

# I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE PROCEDURE

### 1. Submission of the dossier

The company Micro Labs Limited submitted in 2017 an application for [TB348 trade name]\* (TB348) to be assessed with the aim of including [TB348 trade name] in the list of prequalified medicinal products for the treatment of tuberculosis.

[TB348 trade name] was assessed according to the 'Procedure for Assessing the Acceptability, in Principle, of Pharmaceutical Products for Purchase by United Nations Agencies' by the team of WHO assessors. The assessors are senior experts, mainly from national authorities, invited by WHO to participate in the prequalification assessment process.

| May 2017       | During the meeting of the assessment team the safety and efficacy data were reviewed and                                   |
|----------------|--|
|                | further information was requested.   |
| June 2017      | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| July 2017      | The safety and efficacy data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements.                         |
| July 2017      | During the meeting of the assessment team the quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.            |
| September 2017 | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| September 2017 | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| November 2017  | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| November 2017  | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| December 2017  | The sites relevant for the bioequivalence study were inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GLP and GCP.       |
| January 2018   | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| January 2018   | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| July 2018      | In between the meetings of the assessment team the applicant's response letter was   |
|                | received. The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.                                 |
| November 2018  | The manufacturer of the API was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.                                    |
| January 2019   | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| January 2019   | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| February 2019  | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| March 2019     | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| April 2019     | The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.                                    |

#### 2. Steps taken in the evaluation of the product

<sup>\*</sup> Trade names are not prequalified by WHO. This is the national medicines regulatory authority's responsibility.

| April + June<br>2019 | The applicant's response letters were received.   |
|----------------------|---|
| May and July<br>2019 | During the meetings of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| August 2019          | The applicant's response letter was received.   |
| September 2019       | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  |
| October 2019         | The applicant's response letter was received.   |
| January 2020         | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  |
| February 2020        | The applicant's response letter was received.   |
| February 2020        | The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  |
| March 2020           | The applicant's response letter was received.   |
| March 2020           | The quality data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements.                                      |
| March 2020           | Product dossier accepted (quality assurance)  |
| 16 March 2020        | [TB348 trade name] was included in the list of prequalified medicinal products.   |

# **II. GENERAL CONDITIONS FOR THE PREQUALIFICATION**

## **1. Manufacturer and Inspection status**

### Manufacturer of the finished product and responsible for batch release

Micro Labs Limited (Unit-03) 92, Sipcot Industrial Complex Hosur Tamil Nadu, 635126 India

### **Inspection status**

The sites inspected were found to be in compliance with WHO requirements for GMP, GLP and GCP.

## 2. (Advice on) Conditions or restrictions regarding supply and use

Medicinal product subject to medical prescription.

Further information is available at:

https://extranet.who.int/prequal/medicines/prequalified/finished-pharmaceutical-products