| W | HO | $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{\Delta}}$ | R | Part | - |
|---|----|--------------------------------|---|------|---|
|   |    |                                |   |      |   |

STEPS TAKEN BEFORE PREQUALIFICATION

# I BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE PROCEDURE

#### 1. Submission of the dossier

The company Getz Pharma (Pvt) Limited submitted in 2015 an application for [TB311 trade name] \* (TB311) to be assessed with the aim of including [TB311 trade name] in the list of prequalified medicinal products for the treatment of tuberculosis.

[TB311 trade name] was assessed according to the 'Procedure for Assessing the Acceptability, in Principle, of Pharmaceutical Products for Purchase by United Nations Agencies' by the team of WHO assessors. The assessors are senior experts, mainly from national authorities, invited by WHO to participate in the prequalification assessment process.

# 2. Steps taken in the evaluation of the product

| May 2015         | During the meeting of the assessment team the safety and efficacy data were reviewed                                       |
|------------------|--|
|                  | and further information was requested.   |
| July 2015        | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| July 2015        | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional efficacy data were reviewed and                                   |
| -                | further information was requested.   |
| May and August   | During the meeting of the assessment team the quality data were reviewed and further                                       |
| 2015             | information was requested.   |
| Aug 2015         | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| Sept and October | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and                                    |
| 2015             | further information was requested.   |
| December 2015    | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| January 2016     | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and                                    |
|                  | further information was requested.   |
| March 2016       | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| May 2016         | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and                                    |
|                  | further information was requested.   |
| May 2016         | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| July 2016        | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and                                    |
|                  | further information was requested.   |
| February 2017    | The manufacturer of the API was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for   |
|                  | GMP.   |
| March 2017       | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| May 2017         | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| July 2017        | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| August 2017      | The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.                                    |
| September 2017   | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| December 2017    | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| January 2018     | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and                                    |
| •                | further information was requested.   |
| February 2018    | The applicant's response letter was received.  |
| May and June     | During the meetings of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and                                   |
| 2018             | further information was requested.   |
| June 2018        | The applicant's response letters were received.  |
| July 2018        | The quality data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant   |
|                  | WHO requirements.  |

\* Trade names are not prequalified by WHO. This is the national medicines regulatory authority's responsibility.

Page 2 of 3

\_

| December 2018    | The applicant's response letter was received.  |  |  |
|------------------|--|--|--|
| January 2019     | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional efficacy data were reviewed and |  |  |
|                  | further information was requested.   |  |  |
| February 2019    | The applicant's response letter was received.  |  |  |
| February 2019    | The safety and efficacy data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant         |  |  |
|                  | WHO requirements.  |  |  |
| February 2019    | Product dossier accepted (quality assurance)   |  |  |
| 22 February 2019 | [TB311 trade name] was included in the list of prequalified medicinal products.          |  |  |

# II. GENERAL CONDITIONS FOR THE PREQUALIFICATION

### 1. Manufacturer, Commitments and Inspection status

Manufacturer of the finished product and responsible for batch release:

Getz Pharma (Pvt) Limited 29-30/27, Korangi Industrial Area Karachi-74900 Pakistan

# **Commitments for Prequalification**

None which have an impact on the benefit-risk profile of the medicinal product.

#### <u>Inspection status</u>

The sites inspected were found to be compliant with WHO requirements for GMP.

# 2. (Advice on) Conditions or restrictions regarding supply and use

Medicinal product subject to medical prescription.

Further information is available at:

https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/medicines/prequalified-lists/finished-pharmaceutical-products