Steps before prequalification

I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE PROCEDURE

1. Submission of the dossier

The company Hetero Labs Limited submitted in 2018 an application for [HA719 trade name]^{*} (HA719) to be assessed with the aim of including [HA719 trade name] in the list of prequalified medicinal products for the treatment of HIV/AIDS.

[HA719 trade name] was assessed according to the '*Procedure for Assessing the Acceptability, in Principle, of Pharmaceutical Products for Purchase by United Nations Agencies*' by the team of WHO assessors. The assessors are senior experts, mainly from national authorities, invited by WHO to participate in the prequalification assessment process.

2. Steps taken in the evaluation of the product

| December 2017 | The sites relevant for the bioequivalence study were inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GLP and GCP. |
|--------------------------|--|
| July 2018 | During the meeting of the assessment team the safety and efficacy data were reviewed and further information was requested |
| August 2018 | The applicant's response letter was received. |
| July + September 2018 | During the meetings of the assessment team the quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| September 2018 | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional safety and efficacy data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| November 2018 | The applicant's response letter was received. |
| November 2018 | The safety and efficacy data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements. |
| January 2019 | The applicant's response letter was received. |
| January 2019 | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| May 2019 | The applicant's response letter was received. |
| June 2019 | The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| August 2019 | A desk review for evaluation of compliance of the manufacturer of one API for GMP was conducted and it met WHO requirements. |
| August 2019 | The applicant's response letter was received. |
| September 2019 | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| October 2019 | A desk review for evaluation of compliance of the manufacturer of one API for GMP was conducted and it met WHO requirements. |
| October 2019 | The applicant's response letter was received. |
| November 2019 | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |

^{*} Trade names are not prequalified by WHO. This is the national medicines regulatory authority's responsibility.

| January 2020 | In between the meetings of the assessment team the applicant's response letter was received. The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
|----------------|---|
| February 2020 | The applicant's response letter was received. |
| March 2020 | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| May 2020 | The applicant's response letter was received. |
| May 2020 | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| June 2020 | The applicant's response letter was received. |
| July 2020 | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| September 2020 | The applicant's response letter was received. |
| September 2020 | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| October 2020 | A desk review for evaluation of compliance of the manufacturer of the FPP for GMP was conducted and it met WHO requirements. |
| November 2020 | The applicant's response letter was received. |
| November 2020 | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| December 2020 | The applicant's response letter was received. |
| January 2021 | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| May 2021 | The applicant's response letter was received. |
| May 2021 | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| June 2021 | The applicant's response letter was received. |
| June 2021 | The quality data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements. |
| | |
| June 2021 | Product dossier accepted (quality assurance) |

II. GENERAL CONDITIONS FOR THE PREQUALIFICATION

1. Manufacturer and Inspection status

Manufacturer of the finished product and responsible for batch release

Hetero Labs Limited, Unit III Plot No. 22-110, IDA, Jeedimetla, Hyderabad Ranga Reddy District Telangana, 500 055 India.

Inspection status

The sites inspected were found to be in compliance with WHO requirements for GMP, GLP and GCP.

2. (Advice on) Conditions or restrictions regarding supply and use

Medicinal product subject to medical prescription.

Further information is available at:

https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/medicines/prequalified-lists/finished-pharmaceutical-products