

## Steps before prequalification

### I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE PROCEDURE

#### 1. Submission of the dossier

The company Macleods Pharmaceuticals Limited submitted in 2013 an application for [HA574 trade name]\* (HA574) to be assessed with the aim of including [HA574 trade name] in the list of prequalified medicinal products for HIV/AIDS.

[HA574 trade name] was assessed according to the ‘*Procedure for Assessing the Acceptability, in Principle, of Pharmaceutical Products for Purchase by United Nations Agencies*’ by the team of WHO assessors. The assessors are senior experts, mainly from national authorities, invited by WHO to participate in the prequalification assessment process.

#### 2. Steps taken in the evaluation of the product

|                |  |
|----------------|--|
| March 2013     | During the meeting of the assessment team the safety and efficacy data and the quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| April 2013     | The company’s response letter was received.  |
| May 2013       | The safety and efficacy data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements.   |
| July 2013      | The company’s response letter was received.  |
| July 2013      | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.                       |
| October 2013   | The company’s response letter was received.  |
| November 2013  | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.                       |
| January 2014   | The company’s response letter was received.  |
| January 2014   | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.                       |
| March 2014     | The manufacturer of one API was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  |
| June 2014      | The company’s response letter was received.  |
| July 2014      | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.                       |
| July 2014      | The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  |
| August 2014    | The company’s response letter was received.  |
| September 2014 | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.                       |
| September 2014 | The manufacturer of one API was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  |
| October 2014   | The company’s response letter was received.  |
| November 2014  | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.                       |
| December 2014  | The company’s response letter was received.  |
| January 2015   | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.                       |
| February 2015  | The company’s response letter was received.  |
| March 2015     | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.                       |
| April 2015     | The company’s response letter was received.  |

\* Trade names are not prequalified by WHO. This is the national medicines regulatory authority’s responsibility.

|                   |  |
|-------------------|--|
| May 2015          | During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| June 2015         | The company's response letter was received.  |
| July 2015         | The quality data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements.                                     |
| August 2015       | Product dossier accepted (quality assurance).  |
| 09 September 2015 | [HA574 trade name] was included in the list of prequalified medicinal products.  |

## II. GENERAL CONDITIONS FOR THE PREQUALIFICATION

### 1. Manufacturer and Inspection status

#### Manufacturer of the finished product and responsible for batch release

Macleods Pharmaceuticals Limited  
Block No.: 2, Village Theda  
P.O. Lodhi Majra  
Tehsil Baddi  
Distric.: Solan  
Himachal Pradesh, 174101  
India

#### Inspection status

The sites inspected were found to be in compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.

Not inspected for GLP/GCP. Previous inspections by a stringent regulatory authority were acceptable.

### 2. (Advice on) Conditions or restrictions regarding supply and use

Medicinal product subject to medical prescription.

Further information is available at:

<https://extranet.who.int/prequal/medicines/prequalified/finished-pharmaceutical-products>