## **Steps before prequalification**

### I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE PROCEDURE

#### 1. Submission of the dossier

The company Mylan Laboratories Ltd submitted in 2013 an application for [HA572 trade name]\* (HA572) to be assessed with the aim of including [HA572 trade name] in the list of prequalified medicinal products for the treatment of HIV/AIDS.

[HA572 trade name] was assessed according to the 'Procedure for Assessing the Acceptability, in Principle, of Pharmaceutical Products for Purchase by United Nations Agencies' by the team of WHO assessors. The assessors are senior experts, mainly from national authorities, invited by WHO to participate in the prequalification assessment process.

### 2. Steps taken in the evaluation of the product

Aug 2011 The manufacturer of the APIs was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  Jan 2013 The manufacturer of the APIs was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  Jan 2013 The manufacturer of the APIs was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  Jan 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the safety and efficacy data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Feb 2013 The company's response letter was received.  March 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  The safety and efficacy data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements.  May 2013 The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  June 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  July 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Dec 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  March 2014 The company's response letter was received.	Feb 2011	The manufacturer of the APIs was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.
Jan 2013 The manufacturer of the APIs was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  Jan 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the safety and efficacy data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Feb 2013 The company's response letter was received.  March 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  The safety and efficacy data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements.  May 2013 The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  June 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  July 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Dec 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.	Aug 2011	The manufacturer of the APIs was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.
Jan 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the safety and efficacy data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Feb 2013 The company's response letter was received.  March 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  The safety and efficacy data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements.  May 2013 The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  June 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  July 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Dec 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  The company's response letter was received.	Dec 2011	The manufacturer of the API was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.
further information was requested.  The company's response letter was received.  March 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  The safety and efficacy data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements.  May 2013 The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  June 2013 The company's response letter was received.  July 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  July 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.	Jan 2013	The manufacturer of the APIs was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.
Feb 2013 The company's response letter was received.  March 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  The safety and efficacy data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements.  May 2013 The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  June 2013 The company's response letter was received.  July 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  July 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.	Jan 2013	During the meeting of the assessment team the safety and efficacy data were reviewed and
March 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  The safety and efficacy data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements.  May 2013 The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  June 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  July 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.		further information was requested.
information was requested.  The safety and efficacy data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements.  May 2013 The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  June 2013 The company's response letter was received.  July 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  July 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.	Feb 2013	The company's response letter was received.
The safety and efficacy data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements.  May 2013 The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  June 2013 The company's response letter was received.  July 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  July 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.	March 2013	During the meeting of the assessment team the quality data were reviewed and further
requirements.  May 2013 The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  June 2013 The company's response letter was received.  July 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  July 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.		information was requested.
May 2013 The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.  June 2013 The company's response letter was received.  July 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  July 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.		The safety and efficacy data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO
June 2013 The company's response letter was received.  July 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  July 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.		requirements.
July 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  July 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.	May 2013	The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP.
information was requested.  July 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.	June 2013	The company's response letter was received.
July 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.	July 2013	During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further
Sept 2013 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.		information was requested.
information was requested.  Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.	July 2013	The company's response letter was received.
Dec 2013 The company's response letter was received.  Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.	Sept 2013	During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further
Jan 2014 During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.		information was requested.
information was requested.  Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.	Dec 2013	The company's response letter was received.
Jan 2014 The company's response letter was received.  Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.	Jan 2014	During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further
Feb 2014 The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.		information was requested.
	Jan 2014	The company's response letter was received.
March 2014 The company's response letter was received.	Feb 2014	The additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested.
	March 2014	The company's response letter was received.

 $<sup>^*</sup>$  Trade names are not prequalified by WHO. This is the national medicines regulatory authority's responsibility. Page 1 of 2

-

(Mylan Laboratories Ltd), HA572

March 2014	During the meeting of the assessment team the additional quality data were reviewed and further
	information was requested.
Manala 2014	The
March 2014	The company's response letter was received.
March 2014	The quality data were reviewed and found to comply with the relevant WHO requirements.
Maich 2014	The quanty data were reviewed and round to compry with the relevant with requirements.
April 2014	Product dossier accepted (quality assurance)
	1 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -
10 Amril 2014	III A 572 trade named was included in the list of prographical medicinal products
10 April 2014	[HA572 trade name] was included in the list of prequalified medicinal products.

# II. GENERAL CONDITIONS FOR THE PREQUALIFICATION

### 1. Manufacturer and Inspection status

### Manufacturer of the finished product and responsible for batch release

Mylan Laboratories Limited

Plot No. H-12 & H-13 MIDC, Waluj Industrial Area Aurangabad 431136 Maharashtra State India

### **Inspection status**

The sites inspected were found to be in compliance with WHO requirements for GMP. Not inspected for GLP /GCP. Previous site inspections by WHO were acceptable.

# 2. (Advice on) Conditions or restrictions regarding supply and use

Medicinal product subject to medical prescription.

Further information is available at:

https://extranet.who.int/prequal/medicines/prequalified/finished-pharmaceutical-products