

Steps before prequalification

I. BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON THE PROCEDURE

1. Submission of the dossier

The company Cipla Ltd. submitted in 2003 an application for [HA200 trade name]* (HA200) to be assessed with the aim of including [HA200 trade name] in the list of prequalified medicinal products for the treatment of HIV/AIDS.

[HA200 trade name] was assessed according to the '*Procedure for Assessing the Acceptability, in Principle, of Pharmaceutical Products for Purchase by United Nations Agencies*' by the team of WHO assessors. The assessors are senior experts, mainly from national authorities, invited by WHO to participate in the prequalification assessment process.

2. Steps taken in the evaluation of the product

| | |
|----------------|--|
| June 2005 | One site of the manufacturer of the API was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP. |
| October 2006 | One site of the manufacturer of the API was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP. |
| January 2003 | During the meeting of the assessment team, quality, safety and efficacy data of the dossier were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| March 2003 | During the meeting of the assessment team, the safety and efficacy data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| March 2003 | During the meeting of the assessment team, the quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| May 2003 | During the meeting of the assessment team, the safety and efficacy data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| November 2003 | During the meeting of the assessment team, the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| December 2003 | The company's response letter was received. |
| March 2004 | During the meeting of the assessment team, the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| April/May 2004 | The company's response letters were received. |
| May 2004 | During the meeting of the assessment team, the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| May 2006 | The company's response letter was received. |
| July 2006 | During the meeting of the assessment team, the safety and efficacy data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| November 2006 | The company's response letter was received. |
| November 2006 | During the meeting of the assessment team, the safety and efficacy data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| December 2006 | The company's response letter was received. |

* Trade names are not prequalified by WHO. This is the national medicines regulatory authority's responsibility.

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| January 2007 | The additional safety and efficacy data were reviewed and found to be in compliance with the relevant WHO requirements. |
| January 2007 | The site relevant for the bioequivalence study was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GCP. |
| April 2007 | The company's response letter was received. |
| February/May 2007 | During the meeting of the assessment team, the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| July 2007 | The company's response letter was received. |
| July 2007 | During the meeting of the assessment team, the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| August 2007 | The manufacturer of the FPP was inspected for compliance with WHO requirements for GMP. |
| February 2008 | The company's response letter was received. |
| March 2008 | During the meeting of the assessment team, the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| May 2008 | The company's response letter was received. |
| May 2008 | During the meeting of the assessment team, the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| November 2008 | The company's response letter was received. |
| November 2008 | During the meeting of the assessment team, the additional quality data were reviewed and further information was requested. |
| February 2009 | The company's response letter was received. |
| March 2009 | The additional quality data were reviewed and found to be in compliance with the relevant WHO requirements. |
| 25 May 2009 | [HA200 trade name] was included in the list for prequalified medicines. |

II. GENERAL CONDITIONS FOR THE PREQUALIFICATION

1. Manufacturer and Inspection status

Manufacturer of the finished product and responsible for batch release

Cipla Limited, Unit-1 Verna Industrial Estate, Verna, Salcette 403 722, Goa, India

Inspection status

The sites inspected were found to be compliant with WHO requirements for GMP and GCP.

2. (Advice on) Conditions or restrictions regarding supply and use

Medicinal product subject to medical prescription.

Further information is available at:

<https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/medicines/prequalified-lists/finished-pharmaceutical-products>