

# Prequalification Team Vector Control Decision Document Royal Sentry 2.0

(Long Lasting Mosquito Net Treated with Alpha-cypermethrin)

Prequalification Team-Vector Control Group (PQT-VC)

Access to Medicines, Vaccines and Pharmaceuticals (MVP)

World Health Organization

# Prequalification Team Vector Control Decision Document Royal Sentry 2.0

1	In	troduct	ion	3
2	Pı	roduct I	dentification	3
3	A	ssessme	ent of Quality	3
	3.1	Chemic	cal and Physical Properties	3
	3.2	Manuf	acturing, Composition and Formulant Information	6
	3.3	Enforce	ement Analytical Method	6
	3.4	Specifi	cations	7
	3.5	Impuri	ties of Toxicological Concern	7
	3.6	Quality	Conclusions	7
4	A:	ssessme	ent of Safety	7
	4.1	Produc	t Specific Toxicity Data	7
	4.2	Summa	ary of the Available Toxicity Data on the Active Ingredient: Alpha-cypermethrin	9
	4.	2.1	Mammalian Toxicity	9
	4.3	Develo	pment of the Risk Assessment	12
	4.	3.1	Hazard Assessment	12
	4.	3.2	Exposure Assessment	13
	4.	3.3	Risk Characterization	19
	4.4	Safety	Conclusions	22
5	A	ssessme	ent of Efficacy	22
	5.1	Summa	ary of Efficacy Study Results	23
	5.2	Efficac	y Conclusions	25
6	La	abelling		25
7	Po	ost-Pred	qualification Commitments	25
8	Pı	re-Quali	fication Listing Decision	25
9	Re	eference	es	26
Αŗ	pen	dix A: C	Confidential Business Information	27
ΑF	PEN	DIX B: A	Alpha-cypermethrin Toxicity Profile (JMPR, 2006; TRS, 2018; USEPA, 2018)	28

#### 1 Introduction

WHO's Prequalification Team-vector control (PQT-VC) ensures that vector control products and public health pesticide active ingredients are safe, effective and manufactured to a high-quality standard. This is done by assessing product dossiers, inspecting manufacturing sites, and supporting quality-control testing of products. Products and manufacturing sites that meet prequalification requirements are added to (a) the WHO list of vector control products or (b) the WHO list of manufacturing sites for public health pesticide active ingredients, respectively.

WHO prequalification of vector control products primarily benefits populations most affected by major vector-borne (often also neglected tropical) diseases such as malaria, dengue fever and other arboviral diseases (Chikungunya, Zika virus), Chagas disease, lymphatic filariasis, visceral leishmaniasis, and human African trypanosomiasis.

This document presents the results of the safety, efficacy and quality (product chemistry and manufacturing process) assessments for the product Royal Sentry 2.0 which provide the basis for the prequalification listing decision.

#### 2 Product Identification

Royal Sentry 2.0 is an alpha-cypermethrin incorporated long lasting insecticide treated bednet (LLIN) made from a proprietary blend of High Density Polyethylene (HDPE). The insecticidal treatment is incorporated into the monofilament yarn during the extrusion process from a proprietary Linear Low-Density Polyethylene (LLDPE) masterbatch containing the active ingredient (alpha-cypermethrin). The finished product contains 5.8 g Al/kg which corresponds to 203 mg Al/m². The denier of the yarn is 120. The fabric construction relies on a warp knit using a 4-lock stitch. The mass or grams per square meter (GSM) of the finished fabric is 35 GSM. The product is available in rectangular and conical shapes in a variety of sizes and colours.

The source active ingredient and the declared minimum content is:

Alpha-cypermethrin, declared minimum content 930 g/kg

The applicant, Disease Control Technologies LLC, Greer, South Carolina, USA submitted a dossier containing supporting data on the product to PQT-VC on 9 May 2018 and requested a PQ listing for the product. The dossier was updated on 20 July 2018. The product is formulated in Jiangxi Province, China.

## 3 Assessment of Quality

## 3.1 Chemical and Physical Properties

Data on the chemical and physical properties of the active ingredients and the product Royal Sentry 2.0 were provided. Product specific properties are summarized in Table 1. These data were obtained from studies conducted according to Good Laboratory Practices (GLP) and are complete.

Title	Study Number	Test method ID	Result
Colour	NA	NA	Standard colours include white, blue, light blue, green, dark green. Custom colours such as pink, khaki, yellow are available upon request. All colours are incorporated via masterbatch pigments and have no effect on insecticidal properties.
Active ingredient content before washing (3 batches in 5 replicates were tested) Batch:RS120D2017-500	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- CHM17/03CSY	Extension for LN CIPAC 454/TC/(M)2.1 and 454/TC/(M)3	alpha-cypermethrin content (average of five replicates): 5.69 g/kg
Active ingredient content before washing Batch:RS120D2017-702	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- CHM17/05CSY	Extension for LN CIPAC 454/TC/(M)2.1 and 454/TC/(M)3	alpha-cypermethrin content (average of five replicates): 6.37 g/kg
Active ingredient content before washing (3 batches in 5 replicates were tested) Batch:RS120D2017-601	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- CHM17/04CSY	Extension for LN CIPAC 454/TC/(M)2.1 and 454/TC/(M)3	alpha-cypermethrin content (average of five replicates): 5.59 g/kg
Average			Mean of the batches: 5.88 g/kg Acceptable range: 4.35-7.25 g/kg
Wash resistance index (after 4 washing, 3batches in 3replicates) Batch:RS120D2017-500	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- CHM17/06CSY	CIPAC 4827/m- MT195	Average wash resistance index: 99.2%
Wash resistance index Batch:RS120D2017-601	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- CHM17/06CSY	CIPAC 4827/m- MT195	Average wash resistance index: 98.0%
Wash resistance index Batch:RS120D2017-702	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- CHM17/06CSY	CIPAC 4827/m- MT195	Average wash resistance index: 96.0%
Average			Mean wash resistance index of the batches: 97.7 % Acceptable range: 90-100%
Wash resistance index (after storage at 54 °C for 14 days and after 4 washing, 3batches in 3replicates) Batch:RS120D2017-500	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- CHM17/07CSY	CIPAC 4827/m- MT195	Average wash resistance index: 99.3%
Wash resistance index (after storage at 54 °C for 14 days and after 4 washing, 3batches in 3replicates) Batch:RS120D2017-601	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- CHM17/07CSY	CIPAC 4827/m- MT195	Average wash resistance index: 95.7%
Wash resistance index (after storage at 54 °C for 14 days and after 4 washing, 3batches in 3replicates) Batch:RS120D2017-702	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- CHM17/07CSY	CIPAC 4827/m- MT195	Average wash resistance index: 97.2%
Average			Mean wash resistance index of the batches after storage at 54 °C for 14 days and after 4 washing: 97.4 % Acceptable range: 90-100%

Title	Study Number	Test method ID	Result			
Storage stability data Batch:RS120D2017-500	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- CHM17/08CSY	Extension for LN CIPAC 454/TC/(M)2.1 and 454/TC/(M)3	Percentage of active ingredient present in the net after 1 days at 54 °C: 95.9%			
Storage stability data Batch:RS120D2017-601	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- CHM17/08CSY	Extension for LN CIPAC 454/TC/(M)2.1 and 454/TC/(M)3	Percentage of active ingredient present in the net after 1days at 54 °C: 97.9%			
Storage stability data Batch:RS120D2017-702	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- CHM17/08CSY	Extension for LN CIPAC 454/TC/(M)2.1 and 454/TC/(M)3	Percentage of active ingredient present in the net after 14 days at 54 °C: 96.2%			
Average			Mean percentage of active ingredient present in the net after 14 days at 54 °C: 96.7%			
Mass per unit area	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- EEC17/01-CSL	ISO 3801:1977	Batch:RS120D2017-500       35.4 g/m²         Batch:RS120D2017-601       35.1 g/m²         Batch:RS120D2017-702       35.4 g/m²			
Average			Mean of the batches: 35.3 g/m <sup>2</sup> Acceptable range: 31.5 – 38.5 g/m <sup>2</sup>			
Mesh size	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- EEC17/09-CSL	ISO 7211/2:1984	Batch:RS120D2017-500       20.3 holes/cm²         Batch:RS120D2017-601       20.3 holes/cm²         Batch:RS120D2017-702       20.4 holes/cm²			
Average			Mean of the batches: 20.3 holes/cm <sup>2</sup> Acceptable value, minimum 16 holes/cm <sup>2</sup>			
Bursting strength of fabric (before storage stability)	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- EEC17/10-CSL	ISO 13398-2:1999 (pneumatic method)	Batch:RS120D2017-500 380 kPa Batch:RS120D2017-601 393 kPa Batch:RS120D2017-702 413 kPa			
Average	,		Mean of the batches: 395 kPa Acceptable value, minimum 350 kPa			
Bursting strength of fabric (after storage stability)	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- EEC17/12-CSL	ISO 13398-2:1999 (pneumatic method)	Batch:RS120D2017-500 428 kPa Batch:RS120D2017-601 421 kPa Batch:RS120D2017-702 430 kPa			
Average	,		Mean of the batches: 426 kPa Acceptable value, minimum 350 kPa			
Bursting strength of fabric (before storage stability)	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191165089- EEC17-CSL	ISO 13398-1:1999 (hydraulic method)	Batch:RS120D2017-500 473 kPa Batch:RS120D2017-601 507 kPa Batch:RS120D2017-702 503 kPa			
Average			Mean of the batches: 494 kPa Acceptable value, minimum 350 kPa			
Bursting strength of fabric (after storage stability)	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191169260- EEC17-CSL	ISO 13398-1:1999 (hydraulic method)	Batch:RS120D2017-500 517 kPa Batch:RS120D2017-601 514 kPa Batch:RS120D2017-702 510 kPa			
Average			Mean of the batches: 514 kPa Acceptable value, minimum 350 kPa			
Dimensional stability (before storage stability)	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- EEC17/11-CSL	ISO 3759:2012 ISO 6330:2012 ISO 5077:2007	shrinkage values: -3.0-0.0 % extension values: 0-0.5 %			

Table 1. Chemical & Physical	Table 1. Chemical & Physical Properties- Royal Sentry 2.0								
Title	Study Number	Test method ID	Result						
Dimensional stability (after storage stability at 54±2 °C for 2 weeks)	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- EEC17/13-CSL	ISO 3759:2012 ISO 6330:2012 ISO 5077:2007	shrinkage values: -3.2-0.0 % extension values: no extension was observed						
Dimensional stability			Acceptable value: Extension no more than 5%. Shrinkage no more than 10%						
Flammability	TÜV SÜD PSB Report 7191164623- EEC17/02-CSL	EN 1102:2016 EN ISO 6941:2003	No surface flash or ignition of filter paper. Not flammable						

## 3.2 Manufacturing, Composition and Formulant Information

Data on the manufacturing process and product composition has been provided and are adequate. A summary is presented in Table 2. Detailed information on the manufacturing process and product formulation is considered Confidential Business Information (CBI) and is presented in Appendix A.

Table 2. Data Submitted for Ro	yal Sentry 2.0	
Description of Starting Material	Confidential Information of Disease Control Technologies, LLC, Royal Sentry 2.0 Statement of Formulation	The manufacturing process starts with mixing HDPE granules with a proprietary mixture of alpha-cypermethrin masterbatch.
Production / Formulation Process	Confidential Information of Disease Control Technologies, LLC, Royal Sentry 2.0 Manufacturing Process	Included in the Confidential Business Information. Appendix A (Internal use only).
Discussion of Impurities	Confidential Information of Disease Control Technologies, LLC, Royal Sentry 2.0 Statement of Formulation	There are no known relevant impurities in the inert ingredients and in the product.
Control Product Specification Form / Confidential Statement of Formula	Quality Dossier	Included in the Confidential Business Information. Appendix A (Internal use only).
Certification of Limits	TÜV SÜD PSB Reports: - 7191164623-CHM17/03CSY - 7191164623-CHM17/04CSY - 7191164623-CHM17/05CSY	Mean of the batches: 5.88 g/kg  Acceptable range: 4.35-7.25 g/kg
Enforcement Analytical Method	TÜV SÜD PSB Reports: - 7191164623-CHM17/03CSY - 7191164623-CHM17/04CSY - 7191164623-CHM17/05CSY	Extension for LN CIPAC 454/TC/(M)2.1 and 454/TC/(M)3

## 3.3 Enforcement Analytical Method

Table 3. Details of the analytica	Table 3. Details of the analytical method used to determine alpha-cypermethrin in Royal Sentry 2.0							
Method ID	Alpha-cypermethrin 454 CIPAC method extension for LN – 454/TC/M2.1 – Identification in LN							
Alpha-cypermethrin 454 CIPAC method extension for LN – 454/TC/3.2 – Quantification in LN								

The method is appropriate for the determination of the active ingredient content of the product. This method was validated through the inter-laboratory CIPAC process. Relative standard deviation in the measurements were lower than 1%.

## 3.4 Specifications

The source of active ingredient is supported by existing WHO specifications.

The proposed specification for the formulated product will be established through the procedures of the WHO/FAO Joint Meeting on Pesticide Specifications (JMPS).

## 3.5 Impurities of Toxicological Concern

No impurities of toxicological concern were found in the technical active ingredient and inert ingredients.

## 3.6 Quality Conclusions

According to the studies presented all physical-chemical properties of the product were in accordance with the specifications. The proposed methods for assessing the physical-chemical properties of the product were CIPAC methods and/or validated methods. The physical-chemical data were generated in accordance with GLP.

The quality component of the dossier is complete. The assessment of the submitted information on quality supports the prequalification of the product.

## 4 Assessment of Safety

Alpha-cypermethrin is a broad-spectrum insecticide, effective against target pests through contact and ingestion. Alpha-cypermethrin is a type II synthetic pyrethroid chemical. Pyrethroids disrupt the voltage-gated sodium channels in the nervous system, resulting in neurotoxicity.

In 2012, the World Health Organization (WHO) published a revised edition of a "Generic Risk Assessment Model for Insecticide Treated Nets (GRAM)". Using the 2012 GRAM, Toxicology Regulatory Services, Inc, (TRS), Charlottesville, Virginia conducted a risk assessment for Royal Sentry 2.0 (TRS, 2018).

In 2018, the WHO published a 2<sup>nd</sup> edition of the GRAM for insecticide treated nets. This document presents the exposure and risk assessment conducted by the PQT-VC according to the more recent 2018 Generic Risk Assessment Model.

The existing toxicology database is adequate to support the proposed labelled use of Royal Sentry 2.0.

## 4.1 Product Specific Toxicity Data

Acute toxicity data for Royal Sentry 2.0 were not submitted by the applicant. The acute toxicity profile of the two components of the product; High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) and alpha-cypermethrin are shown below:

Table 4. Acute Toxicity Data for	the Components in Royal Sentry 2.	0
	High Density Polyethylene	Alpha-Cypermethrin
CAS No.	9002-88-4	67375-30-80
Oral LD50	Not toxic	>5000 mg/kg bw (Aqueous suspension) >57 mg/kg bw (Corn oil)
Dermal LD50	Not toxic	> 2000 mg/kg bw (Rat)
Inhalation LC50	Not toxic	>1.2 mg/L (Rat)
Primary Dermal Irritation	Non-irritant	Non-irritant
Primary Eye Irritation	Non-irritant	Non-irritant
Skin Sensitization	Non-sensitizing	Non-sensitizing Non-sensitizing

The applicant, in lieu of conducting the acute toxicity studies, requested a waiver for the product specific data based on the following rationale:

The formulated product Royal Sentry 2.0 LLIN has two components, HDPE and alpha-cypermethrin. The applicant contends that HDPE is a nontoxic, non-hazard material that can be safe for human contact. Alpha-cypermethrin, an insecticide, has low toxicity for humans.

The hazard profile of the formulated product results from the release of the insecticide, which is limited due to the incorporation of the rather low amount of the active substance in the HDPE monofilament and the slow diffusion of the active ingredient to the surface of the fiber. The release of active ingredient by a similar net with alpha-cypermethrin was in the range of 1 mg/L water per net/day.

- In accordance with the Global Harmonizing Schedule (GHS), Alpha-Cypermethrin is placed in Category 3;
- For the ATE mix, an acute toxicity value of >2000 mg/kg is estimated due to the low concentration (0.58%) in the net;
- Due to the limited availability/release estimate of the active ingredient from the net, no acute oral and/or contact toxicity is expected for the net; and
- > Acute toxicity to humans is not expected as no component is regarded as highly toxic.

Based on the above rationale, the applicant concluded that acute toxicity testing is not required for the formulated product (Royal Sentry 2.0). Additionally, the applicant cited the OECD 237 Guidance for waiving or bridging of mammalian acute tests (OECD 2016).

Data waivers were granted for Royal Sentry 2.0 based on the following factors:

- High density polyethylene is practically non-toxic via the oral route (LD50 > 2000 mg/kg in rats), nonirritating to the skin and eyes and is not a skin sensitizer. Polyethylene is safely used for a variety of purposes in cosmetics. It is also used in food packaging materials and medical products, including prosthetics.
- The acute toxicity data for the active ingredient alpha-cypermethrin is completed. It is classified as non-toxic by the oral and dermal route of exposure. It is a mild eye and skin irritant and is not a skin sensitizer.
- Although alpha-cypermethrin has a relatively low acute inhalation LC<sub>50</sub> (greater than 1.2 mg/L in rats), the inhalation route of exposure is not of concern since Royal Sentry 2.0 has low vapor pressure.

- The concentration of alpha-cypermethrin in the product is minor (0.58%).
- It is not expected that the toxicity of Royal Sentry 2.0 would be different from that of alphacypermethrin and/or polyethylene.

## 4.2 Summary of the Available Toxicity Data on the Active Ingredient: Alpha-cypermethrin

Pyrethroids have historically been classified into two groups, Type I and Type II, based on chemical structure and toxicological effects. Pyrethroids disrupt the voltage-gated sodium channels in the nervous system, resulting in neurotoxicity. Alpha-cypermethrin is a Type II pyrethroid. Neurotoxicity was observed throughout the database and clinical signs characteristic of Type II pyrethroids, such as increased salivation, altered mobility/gait, and tremors, were the most common effects observed. In repeated dose studies with rodents, the main toxicological findings were reduced body weight gain, reduced food consumption, and at higher doses, signs of neurotoxicity (convulsions, tremors, hypersensitivity to touch and sound). Dogs appeared to be the most sensitive species, with clinical signs of neurotoxicity (tremors, gait abnormalities, ataxia, agitation, head nodding, and lip licking) being observed in the absence of body-weight loss. There is no evidence for genotoxic, developmental, reproductive, immunotoxic or carcinogenic potential.

Points of Departures (PODs) based on the most sensitive endpoints in the toxicity database are available for alpha-cypermethrin. The PODs and toxicological endpoints of concern selected for dietary and non-dietary risk assessment are considered protective of any potential adverse effects, including neuro-, developmental, reproductive, immune, and systemic toxicity as well as carcinogenicity for all populations including infants and children.

It should be noted that toxicology studies on cypermethrin, alpha-cypermethrin and zeta-cypermethrin were included in the referenced reviews to evaluate the hazard potentials and inform the selection of PODs for alpha-cypermethrin.

The existing toxicology database is adequate to support the proposed use of Royal Sentry 2.0.

#### 4.2.1 Mammalian Toxicity

#### Acute Toxicity

Table 5. Acute	Table 5. Acute Toxicity of Alpha-cypermethrin									
Route of Exposure	Species	Toxicity	GHS Category	Reference						
Oral	Rat	>2000 mg/kg	5	USEPA, 2017						
		>5000 mg/kg bw (Aqueous suspension) >57 mg/kg bw (Corn oil)	3	TRS, 2018						
Dermal	Rat	>2000 mg/kg	5	USEPA, 2017						
Inhalation	Rat	>2.79 mg/L	3	USEPA, 2017						
Eye Irritation	Rabbit Mild irritant		3	USEPA, 2017						
Skin Irritation	Rabbit	Mild irritant	3	USEPA, 2017						
Dermal Guinea pig Non-sensitizer Sensitization			USEPA, 2017							

#### • Subchronic Toxicity

In a subchronic toxicity with rats, the NOAEL was 9.3 mg/kg/day and the LOAEL was 29.6 mg/kg/day based on reduced body weights, body weight gains and decreased food consumption in both sexes and gait changes in males (USEPA, 2012; 2017).

In a subchronic toxicity with dogs, the NOAEL was 2.25 mg/kg/day and the LOAEL was 6.75 mg/kg/day based on significant clinical signs characterized by tremors, gait abnormalities, ataxia, agitation, head nodding and lip licking in both sexes (USEPA, 2012; 2017).

In a 21-day inhalation (nose-only) toxicity with rats, the NOAEL was 0.01 mg/L and the LOAEL was 0.05 mg/L based on increased salivation (USEPA, 2012; 2017).

#### Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity

In a chronic study with dogs, the NOAEL was 1.5 mg/kg/day and the LOAEL was 3 mg/kg/day based on reddening of the skin and hair loss and associated irritation. No systemic toxicity was seen (JMPR, 2006).

In another chronic toxicity study with dogs, the NOAEL was 4.11 mg/kg/day in males and 4.29 mg/kg/day in females and the LOAEL was 7.9 mg/kg/day in males and 8.45 mg/kg/day in females based on clinical signs, skin reddening, hair loss and tail irritation (USEPA, 2012; 2017).

In a chronic toxicity/carcinogenicity study in rats with cypermethrin, the systemic NOAEL was 75 mg/kg/day; a LOAEL was not established. There was no evidence of carcinogenicity (USEPA, 2012)

In a carcinogenicity study in mice with alpha-cypermethrin, the NOAEL was 3 mg/kg/day and the LOAEL was 11 mg/kg/day based on decreases in body weight, body weight gains, and food efficiency and clinical signs indicative of poor health. In females, the NOAEL was 12 mg/kg/day and the LOAEL was 38 mg/kg/day based on decreased body weight and weight gain (USEPA, 2012; 2017).

In a carcinogenicity study in mice with cypermethrin, the systemic NOAEL was 57 mg/kg/day and the systemic LOAEL was 229 mg/kg/day based on alterations in hematology parameters. Benign lung tumors in females were seen at 229 mg/kg/day dose level (USEPA, 2012; 2017).

#### • Developmental Toxicity

In a pre-natal developmental toxicity study in rats, for maternal toxicity, the NOAEL was 9 mg/kg/day and the LOAEL was 15 mg/kg/day based on decreased body weight gain and food consumption and increased incidence of clinical signs such as unsteady gait, piloerection, limb splay, and hypersensitivity to sound and touch. For developmental toxicity, the NOAEL was 9 mg/kg/day and the LOAEL was 15 mg/kg/day based on decreased fetal weights (USEPA, 2012, 2017).

In a pre-natal developmental toxicity study with rabbits, for maternal toxicity, the NOAEL was 15 mg/kg/day and the LOAEL was 30 mg/kg/day based on decreased body weight gain and food consumption. For developmental toxicity, the NOAEL was 30 mg/kg/day; a LOAEL was not established (USEPA, 2012; 2017).

#### • Reproductive Toxicity

In a reproduction and fertility study in rats with cypermethrin, for parental toxicity the NOAEL was 7.5 mg/kg/day and the LOAEL was 37.5 mg/kg/day based on clinical signs and decreased body weight in both sexes. For offspring toxicity, the NOAEL 7.5 mg/kg/day and the LOAEL was 37.5 mg/kg/day based on decreased mean litter weight gain during lactation (USEPA, 2017).

#### Neurotoxicity

In an acute neurotoxicity study with rats, the NOAEL was 4 mg/kg/day and the LOAEL was 20 mg/kg/day based on gait abnormalities, prostration, thrashing, vocalization, piloerection, unkept appearance, limb abnormalities and increased reactivity. At 40 mg/kg/day, mortality, neurotoxic clinical signs, FOB effects and slight nerve degeneration were noted (USEPA, 2017).

In a subchronic toxicity study in rats with cypermethrin, the NOAEL was 31 mg/kg/day and the LOAEL was 77 mg/kg/day based on decreased body weight gain, increased landing splay, ataxia, splayed hindlimbs, impaired gait and decreased feces (USEPA, 2017).

#### Genotoxicity

Alpha-cypermethrin was non-mutagenic in a battery of *in vivo* and *in vitro* assays (JMPR, 2006; USEPA, 2012; 2017).

#### Immunotoxicity

There was no evidence of immunotoxicity in rats at the highest dose tested (USEPA, 2012; 2017).

#### Absorption/Distribution/Metabolism/Elimination

Several studies with rats, dogs and mice are available to support the requirement for metabolism in mammals. Some of these studies assess individual cis and *trans* radiolabeled isomers and other studies assess the metabolism of cypermethrin with the label in either the cyclopropyl of the phenoxybenzyl ring. In general, the following has been demonstrated from these studies: cypermethrin is readily absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract and extensively metabolized. It is mostly excreted in the urine that contains several characterized metabolites derived from conjugation of the hydrolysis products of the parent compound following cleavage of the esteratic linkage site (USEPA, 2012, 2017).

#### Dermal Absorption

Following dermal application, a portion of the applied dose (0.76-0.78%) remained in the skin at the application site and surrounding skin at 120 hours post-application, with the low dose providing a conservative estimate of dermal absorption factor (DAF) of 13.4% (USEPA, 2012).

#### • Cancer Classification

The USEPA has classified alpha-cypermethrin as a Group C "Possible human carcinogen" based on increased incidence of lung adenomas and adenomas plus carcinomas combined in females in the carcinogenicity with CD-1 mice (USEPA, 2012; 2017).

## 4.3 Development of the Risk Assessment

A risk assessment for Royal Sentry 2.0 was conducted according to the "A Generic Risk Assessment Model for Insecticide Treated Nets, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, 2018". Risk assessment involves three steps: Hazard assessment, Exposure assessment and Risk characterization.

- 1. Hazard assessment is the identification of the possible toxic effects of a substance, the dose/exposure levels at which those effects occur, and the dose/exposure levels below which no adverse effects are observed. Authoritative evaluations may be used as starting points for the risk assessment of insecticides for space spraying. Examples of authoritative evaluations are: Joint Meeting on Pesticide Residues (JMPR) monographs and Evaluations; International Programme on Chemical Safety (IPCS): Concise International Chemical Assessment Documents, Environmental Health Criteria Documents; International Agency for Research and Cancer (IARC) Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans: United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Pesticide Evaluations; European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) Pesticide Risk Assessments; European Chemicals Agency Information on Chemicals. JMPR assessments, if available, will be used by PQTVC for risk assessment unless a more recent authoritative evaluation exists.
- 2. **Exposure assessment** may concern insecticide operators, applicators, residents of treated dwellings and users of other treated buildings, bystanders, domestic animals, wildlife and the environment. Exposure is assessed in a "guideline scenario" which assumes that the insecticide is used according to the instructions given on the product label and in WHO guideline information. Conservative high-end point estimates of the default distributions are used as defaults. No account is taken of intended misuse. All relevant routes of exposure are covered.
- 3. In **risk characterization** estimates of exposure are compared with acceptable exposure levels previously defined in hazard assessment in all relevant exposure situations.

#### 4.3.1 Hazard Assessment

The Points of Departure and toxicological endpoints of concern used for acute and chronic exposure risk assessments are presented below.

#### • Acute Reference Dose (ARfD)

The Joint Meeting on Pesticide Residues (JMPR) established an acute Reference Dose (ARfD) of 0.04 mg/kg/day based on a NOAEL of 4 mg/kg/day and a 100-fold Uncertainty Factor (10X for inter-species extrapolation and 10X for intra-species variation). The LOAEL of 20 mg/kg/day was based on gait abnormalities, prostration, thrashing, vocalization, piloerection, unkept appearance, limb abnormalities and increased reactivity in rats in an acute neurotoxicity study (JMPR, 2006).

The USEPA selected the POD based on the results of a study by Wolansky *et al.* 2006. The authors measured motor activity at the time of peak effect after exposure to 11 pyrethroids, including alphacypermethrin. Dose-response relationships were determined using 6 doses and 8 animals/group minimizing variability and increasing the confidence in the study. Moreover, each pyrethroid was evaluated by the same scientist thus decreasing some of the variability associated with neurobehavioral measures (USEPA, 2016).

The USEPA, in accordance with its 2000 Bench Mark Dose (BMD) Guidance established a BMD and a Bench Mark Dose Lower Confidence (BMDL) for the findings in the Wolansky *et al* 2006 study. The BMDL value

of 7.1 mg/kg/day based on decreased motor activity in rats at the BMD of 11.2 mg/kg/day was established. The POD was 7.1 mg/kg/day (USEPA, 2012; 2016; 2017).

The USEPA established an acute Reference Dose (ARFD) of 0.07 mg/kg/day based on the BMDL of 7.6 mg/kg/day and a 100 -fold Uncertainty Factor which includes 10X for inter-species extrapolation and 10X for intra-species variation (USEPA, 2012; 2017).

Table 6. Acute Reference Dose Established by U.S. EPA									
POD= BMDL (mg/kg/day)	Uncertainty Factor	Acute RfD (mg/kg)	Toxicological Concern	Endpoint	of	Study Selected	Reference		
7.1	100	0.07	Decreased motor activity			Wolansky, 2006	USEPA, 2012; 2016		

The PQT-VC selected the ARfD established by the USEPA. One of the key factors in the POD selected for deriving the ARfD was the robustness of the dose-response data in the critical study. Therefore, this ARfD is the most robust value that is sufficiently protective for human health in the present application scenario.

#### • Chronic Reference Dose (CRfD) - USEPA

The USEPA determined that there is no increase in hazard from repeated exposures to the alphacypermethrin due to the rapid reversibility of the most sensitive neurotoxicity endpoint used for quantifying risks. Therefore, the acute exposure assessment will be protective of chronic effects since acute exposure levels are higher than chronic exposure levels. Accordingly, a chronic risk assessment was not conducted (USEPA, 2012; 2017).

#### Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) - JMPR

The JMPR established an Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) of 0.02 mg/kg/day based on a NOAEL of 2.25 mg/kg/day and a 100-fold Uncertainty Factor (10X for inter-species extrapolation and 10X for intra-species variation). The LOAEL of 6.75 mg/kg/day based on significant clinical signs characterized by tremors, gait abnormalities, ataxia, agitation, head nodding and lip licking in both sexes' dogs in a subchronic toxicity study. The NOAEL in this study was supported by the NOAEL of 1.5 mg/kg/day based on abdominal skin reddening and alopecia seen at 3 mg/kg/day in dogs in a chronic toxicity study (JMPR, 2006).

Table 7. Acce	Table 7. Acceptable Daily Intake - JMPR									
NOAEL (mg/kg/day)	Uncertainty Factor	ADI (mg/kg/day)	Toxicological Endpoint of Concern	Study Selected	Reference					
2.25 100		0.02	Neurotoxic clinical signs (tremors, gait changes, ataxia, agitation, head nodding and lip licking)	Subchronic and chronic Toxicity- Dog.	JMPR, 2006					

Based on these assessments (JMPR, 2006 and USEPA, 2012; 2016), the Tolerable Systemic Dose (TSD) for acute is 0.07 mg/kg and the for chronic is 0.02 mg/kg/day.

#### 4.3.2 Exposure Assessment

The second step in performing a risk assessment is to estimate exposure to the insecticide in the various groups of people potentially at risk. Exposure must take account of various parameters, including the route of exposure, the actual amounts of material involved, the duration of exposure in terms of both daily and annual exposure and seasonality, and whether this exposure is intermittent or continuous.

The exposure assessment (i.e., exposure calculations) was conducted in accordance with the input parameters (default values) mainly taken from the 2018 A Generic Risk Assessment Model for Insecticide-Treated Nets guidance and chemical specific data. Exposure assessment includes the population [adults, children (6-11 years), toddlers (1-2 years), infants (<1year)], the routes of exposure (inhalation, dermal, oral, and via breast milk), and the different scenarios (sleeping under, washing, and sleeping and washing treated nets). In the total exposure assessments, all relevant routes and different scenarios were summed up to derive the total systemic dose. Exposure is assessed in a "guideline scenario", which assumes that the insecticide is used according to the instructions given on the product label and in WHO guideline information. Conservative high-end point estimates of the default distributions are used as defaults.

#### Estimation of Systemic Doses from Inhalation, Dermal and Oral Exposures due to Sleeping under Treated Nets.

The individual and cumulative exposures in adults, children, toddlers, and infants via inhalation, dermal, and oral routes are estimated and converted to total systemic exposures.

Surface Concentration (TC) of active ingredient on the net = 125% x Nominal concentration of the a.i. mg/kg net x Weight of the net kg/m<sup>2</sup>

 $TC = 125\% \times 5.8 \text{ g Alpha-cypermethrin/kg net } \times 0.035 \text{ kg/m2} \times 1000 = 253.75 \text{ mg/m}^2$ 

#### Inhalation Exposure from Sleeping under Treated Nets.

Alpha-cypermethrin has a vapor pressure of  $1.7x10^{-7}$  and a molecular weight of 416.3. The worst-case systemic dose after inhalation exposure is  $0.328 \times 416.3 \times 1.7x10^{-7} = 0.000023$  mg/kg bw. This is 0.1% of the Tolerable Systemic Dose of 0.02 mg/kg/day. Thus, inhalation exposure is negligible and can be ignored.

#### Dermal Exposure from Sleeping under Treated Nets.

The estimated TWA systemic dose due to potential dermal exposure from sleeping under the net is calculated with the use of chemical-specific data for dermal absorption and concentration of the active ingredient in the net.

<u>Dermal absorption</u>: Instead of using a 10% default dermal absorption factor as recommended in the model, a data-derived dermal absorption factor of 13.4% established by the USEPA is used for calculating the systemic dermal dose.

Table 8. Estimated TWA Systemic (Dermal) Dose for All Population due to Dermal Exposure from Sleeping Under the Treated Nets.										
Population	Absorption (%)	Transl (%)	ESA (m2)	SF (%)	TC (mg/m2)	BW (kg)	Systemic Dose (µg/kg bw/day)			
Adult	13.4	6	0.408	6.5	253.75	60.0	0.10			
Children	13.4	6	0.225	6.5	253.75	23.9	1.25			
Toddlers	13.4	6	0.115	6.5	253.75	10.0	1.53			
Infants	13.4	6	0.100	6.5	253.75	8.0	1.66			

Systemic TWA dose (Dermal) = Absorption (Dermal) x Transl x ESA x SF x TC ÷ BW x 1000

where:

Abs = Dermal absorption from net surface

Transl = Translodgeable fraction

ESA= Exposed skin area

SF = Surface fraction

TC = Concentration of the a.i in the net)

BW = Body weight

1000 = conversion of mg to ug

#### • Oral Exposure to Toddler and Infants due to Sleeping under Treated Nets.

Oral exposure may occur from hand-to-mouth transfer and from direct mouthing activity such as mouthing, chewing and sucking in the case of infants and toddlers.

#### From Hand-to-Mouth Transfer

The estimated TWA systemic dose due to oral exposure via hand-t-mouth transfer is calculated as follows:

Table 9. Estim	Table 9. Estimated TWA Systemic Dose Due to Hand-to-Mouth Transfer for Toddlers and Infants Sleeping Under Treated Nets.										
Population Absorption SE (%) Transl EHA FHM SF TC BW Systemic Dose								- /			
	(%)		(%)	(m2)	(%)	(%)	(mg/m2)	(kg)	(μg/kg bw/day)		
Toddlers	100	57	6	0.008	16.4	6.5	253.75	10.0	0.074		
Infants	100	57	6	0.007	16.4	6.5	253.75	8.0	0.081		

Systemic Dose = Absorption (oral) SE x Transl x EHA x FHM x SF x TC ÷ BW x 1000

. Where:

SE = Salivary extraction factor

Transl = Translodgeability

EHA = Exposed hand area

FHM = Fraction of hand mouthed

SF = Surface faction of the a.i

TC = Concentration of the a.i on the net

BW = Body weight

1000 = conversion of mg to ug

#### > From Direct Mouth Contact

The estimated TWA systemic dose due to oral exposure via mouthing, chewing and sucking is calculated as follows:

Table 10. Estimat	ed TWA Systemic Dos	e Due to	Direct Oral	(mouth	ning, chewing a	ınd suckin	g) Exposures for Toddlers and Infants			
Sleeping Under Treated Nets.										
Population	Absorption (%)	SE (%)	NM(m2)	SF (%)	TC(mg/m²)	BW(kg)	Systemic Dose (μg/kg bw/day)			
Toddlers	100	57	0.0014	6.5	253.75	10.0	1.31			
Infants	100	57	0.0014	6.5	253.75	8.0	1.65			

Systemic Dose = Absorption (oral) SE x NM x SF x TC ÷ BW x 1000

Where:

SE = Salivary extraction factor

NE = Net mouthed

SF = Surface faction of the a.i

TC = Concentration of the a. i on the net (data derived value)

BW = Body weight

1000 = conversion of mg to ug

#### Total (Inhalation + Dermal + Oral) Systemic Dose due to SLEEPING under Treated Nets.

A worst-case, total daily systemic exposure to the insecticide while sleeping under a Royal Sentry 2.0 LLIN was calculated in the following table as the summation of the values for inhalation, dermal, and oral routes of exposure as given above in the following table.

Table 11. Esti	Table 11. Estimated Total Systemic Dose (μg/kg bw/day) due to SLEEPING under Treated Nets.											
Population	Inhalation Exposure	Dermal Exposure	Oral (indirect) Exposure	Oral (direct) Exposure	Total Systemic Dose (μg/kg bw/day)							
Adult	Negligible	0.10	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	0.10							
Children	Negligible	1.25	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	1.25							
Toddler	Negligible	1.53	0.074	1.31	2.91							
Infants	Negligible	1.66	0.081	1.65	3.39							

#### • Estimation of Dermal and Oral Exposure During Washing of Treated Nets.

The generic risk assessment model assumes that both adults and children may carry out the washing of nets; therefore, exposures in toddlers and infants were not determined for this exposure scenario. Although this exposure is acute, washing is done by people using the net and thus also contribute to their long-term exposure. Therefore, acute (maximum) and repeated (TWA) systemic doses are calculated from dermal and oral exposure scenarios.

#### Dermal Exposure during Washing of Treated Nets.

The amount deposited on the skin and absorbed systemically during washing is calculated as follows:

#### From Acute (Maximum) Exposure

Table 12. Estir	Table 12. Estimated Systemic Dose (Maximum) From ACUTE DERMAL Exposure Due to Washing Treaded Nets.											
Population	Absorption	NoN	VLS	SF		SN	VolW	BW	Systemic Dose			
	(%)	(Nets)	(mL)	(%)	(mg/m2)	(m2)	(mL)	(kg)	(μg/kg bw/day)			
Adults	13.4	5	36.7	6.5	253.75	15	4000	60.0	25.35			
Children	13.4	5	17.6	6.5	253.75	15	4000	23.9	30.51			

Systemic Dose (Maximum) = Absorption (Dermal) x NoN-x VLS x SF x TC x SN ÷ (VolW × BW) x1000

Where:

NoN = Number of nets washed per day;

VLS = Volume of liquid on skin.

SF = Surface fraction of the insecticide (100–wash resistance index).

TC = Target concentration in the net;

SN = Maximal actual size of the net.

VolW = Volume of washing water.

BW= Body weight

1000 = conversion of mg to ug

#### From Repeated (TWA) Exposure

Table 13. Estimated Sy	Table 13. Estimated Systemic Dose (TWA) From REPEATED DERMAL Exposure Due to Washing Treated Nets.											
Population	Absorption	NoW	NoN (Nets)	VLS (mL)	SF	TC						
	(%)	(washes)			(%)	(mg/m2)						
Adults	13.4	20/3 years	5	36.7	6.5	253.75						
Children	13.4	20/3 years	5	17.6	6.5	253.75						
	SN (m2)	VolW (mL)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	System	ic Dose (μg/kg bw/day)						
Adults	15	4000	60.0	365	1.39							
Children	15	4000	23.9	365	1.67							

Systemic Dose (TWA) = Absorption (Dermal) x NoW × NoN × VLS × SF × TC × SN ÷ (VolW × BW × AT) x1000

Where:

NoW= Number of washes per year.

NoN = Number of nets washed per day default.

VLS = Volume of liquid on skin.

SF = Surface fraction of the insecticide (100–wash resistance index%).

TC = Target concentration in the net.

SN = Maximal actual size of the net.

VolW = Volume of washing water.

BW= Body weight.

AT= Average time.

1000 = conversion of mg to ug

#### Oral Exposure during Washing of Treated Nets.

#### From Acute (Maximum) Exposure

Table 14. Estimated Systemic Dose (Maximum) From ACUTE ORAL Exposure Due to Washing Treaded Nets.											
Population	Absorption	NoN	VLS (mL)	SF (%)	TC (*** ** /** ** 2)	FHM (%)	SN (v=2)	VolW	BW	Systemic Dose	
	(%)	(Nets)			(mg/m2)		(m2)	(mL)	(kg)	(μg/kg bw/day)	
Adults	100	5	8.2	6.5	253.75	16.4	15	4000	60.0	6.93	
Children	100	5	4.3	6.5	253.75	16.4	15	4000	23.9	9.12	

Washing of nets may also lead to oral exposure. This exposure is estimated as follows:

Systemic Dose (Maximum)= Absorption (Oral) NoN-x VLH x SF x TC x FHM x SN ÷ (VoIW × BW) x1000

Where:

NoN = Number of nets washed per day default.

VLS = Volume of liquid on skin.

SF = Surface fraction of the insecticide (100-wash resistance index %).

TC = Target concentration in the net.

FHM=Transfer from hand to mouth.

SN = Maximal actual size of the net.

VolW = Volume of washing water.

BW= Body weight

1000 = conversion of mg to ug

#### > From Repeated (TWA) Exposure

Table 15. Estim	nated Systemic Dos	se (TWA) From RI	EPEATED ORAL EXP	osure Due to Wa	asning Nets.	
Population	Absorption (%)	NoW (washes)	NoN (Nets)	VLS (mL)	SF (%)	TC (mg/m2)
Adults	100	20/3 years	5	8.2	6.5	253.75
Children	100	20/3 years	5	4.3	6.5	253.75
	SN (m2)	VolW (mL)	BW (kg)	AT (days)	Systemic Dos	se (μg/kg bw/day)
Adults	15	4000	60.0	365	2.31	
Children	15	4000	23.9	365	3.04	

Systemic Dose (TWA)= Absorption (Oral)x NoW x NoN-x VLH x SF x TC x FHM x SN ÷ (VolW × BW x AT) x1000

Where:

NoW= Number of washes per year.

NoN = Number of nets washed per day default.

VLS = Volume of liquid on skin.

SF = Surface fraction of the insecticide (100–wash resistance index%).

TC = Target concentration in the net.

FHM= Transfer from hand to mouth

SN = Maximal actual size of the net.

VolW = Volume of washing water; BW= Body weight; AT= Average time.

1000 = conversion of mg to ug

#### • Total (Dermal + Oral) Systemic Exposure due to WASHING Treated Nets.

Cumulative exposures from dermal and oral routes were estimated and converted to systemic doses.

Table 16. Estimated To	Table 16. Estimated Total Systemic Dose from Dermal + Oral Exposures due to WASHING Nets.										
Subpopulation	Dermal Exposure (μg/kg bw/day)	Oral Exposure (μg/kg bw/day)	Total Systemic Dose (μg/kg bw/day)								
Acute Exposure											
Adult	25.35	6.93	32.28								
Children	30.51	9.12	39.63								
		Repeated Exposur	e								
Adult	1.39	2.31	3.70								
Children	1.67	3.04	4.71								

#### Exposure via Breast Milk

Table 17. Estim	ated Maximum S	ystemic D	ose from Exposure	via Breast	Milk.		
Damulatian	Absorption	SolC	Dose	T1/2	IR	BW	Systemic Dose
Population	(%)		(mg/kg/day)	(days)	(kg/day)	(kg)	(µg/kg bw/day)
Newborns	100	0.361	0.0083	2.5	0.66	4.2	1.18
Infants	100	0.361	0.0083	2.5	0.66	8.0	0.62

Table 18. Esti	Table 18. Estimated TWA Systemic Dose from Exposure via Breast Milk.										
Population	Absorption	SolC	Dose	T1/2	IR	BW	Systemic Dose				
ropulation	(%)		(mg/kg/day)	(days)	(kg/day)	(kg)	(μg/kg bw/day)				
Newborns	100	0.361	0.001	2.5	0.66	4.2	0.142				
Infants	100	0.361	0.001	2.5	0.66	8.0	0.074				

Estimated systemic Maximum and TWA doses from exposure via breast milk is calculated as follows:

Systemic Dose = Absorption x Sol C × Dose (Mother) × T½ × IR ÷ BWx1000

Where:

SolC = Solubility constant

Dose = Daily dose to the mother

T1/2 = First-order kinetics half time in the body of the insecticide in days.IR = Ingestion rate of milks.

BW = Body weight.

1000 = conversion of mg to ug

#### 4.3.3 Risk Characterization

The purpose of risk characterization is to examine the probability of adverse effects occurring during the use of the insecticide under defined exposure conditions. Risk characterization consists of comparing the estimate of total exposure (i.e., Estimated Systemic Dose) with the Tolerable Systemic Dose (TSD) established in hazard assessment. The TSD is same as the ADI or the chronic RfD established for the active ingredients (GRAM, 2018).

Ratio = Total Systemic Dose (µg kg bw/day)

#### TSD (μg/kg bw/day)

When the ratios are less than 1, the health risk is acceptable. Ratios are greater than 1 may indicated possible health risks in which case steps may be taken to reduce the risk such as changing the recommended operational conditions or the amount of active ingredient in the technical product. A risk-benefit analysis in which the risks of potential toxicity are compared with potential health benefits (disease prevention), may be needed in some cases (GRAM, 2018).

Presented in the following Table 19 and Table 20 are the ratios for the all populations (adults, children, toddlers and infants) sleeping under or for adults and children washing the long-lasting bed nets treated with Alpha-Cypermethrin.

sure Estimates a	nd Ratios for Sle	eping Under	OR Washing T	reated Nets			
Sub Population				Total Dose (µg/kg	TSD (μg/kg	Ratio	
	Inhalation	Dermal	C	ral	bw/day)	bw/day)	
			Indirecta	Directb			
Adult	Negligible	0.10	Not Ap	plicable	0.10	20	0.005
Children	Negligible	1.25	Not Ap	plicable	1.25	20	0.063
Toddlers	Negligible	1.53	0.074	1.31	2.91	20	0.146
Infants	Negligible	1.66	0.081	1.65	3.39	20	0.170
Adult	Not Applicable	25.35	6.93	Not Applicable	32.28	70	0.461
Children	Not Applicable	30.51	9.12	Not Applicable	39.63	70	0.566
Adult	Not Applicable	1.39	2.31	Not Applicable	3.70	20	0.185
Children	Not Applicable	1.67	3.04	Not Applicable	4.71	20	0.236
	Sub Population  Adult Children Toddlers Infants  Adult Children  Adult	Sub Population  Inhalation  Adult Negligible  Children Negligible  Toddlers Negligible  Infants Negligible  Adult Not Applicable  Children Not Applicable  Adult Not Applicable  Children Not Applicable  Children Not Applicable	Sub Population       Estimated Sy (μg/kg b)         Inhalation       Dermal         Adult       Negligible       0.10         Children       Negligible       1.25         Toddlers       Negligible       1.53         Infants       Negligible       1.66         Adult       Not Applicable       25.35         Children       Not Applicable       30.51         Adult       Not Applicable       1.39         Children       Not       1.67	Sub Population         Estimated Systemic Dose (μg/kg bw/day)           Inhalation         Dermal         Condition of the control of the c	Population       (μg/kg bw/day)         Inhalation       Dermal       Oral         Indirecta       Directb         Indirecta       Directb         Indirecta       Directb         Not Applicable       1.25         Not Applicable       1.53         Infants       Negligible         1.66       0.081         1.65         Adult       Not Applicable         25.35       6.93         Applicable         Children       Not Applicable         Adult       Not Applicable         Adult       Not Applicable         Children       Not Applicable         Children       Not Applicable	Sub Population         Estimated Systemic Dose (μg/kg bw/day)         Total Dose (μg/kg bw/day)           Inhalation         Dermal Dermal         Oral Indirect <sup>a</sup> Direct <sup>b</sup> Adult         Negligible         0.10         Not Applicable         0.10           Children         Negligible         1.25         Not Applicable         1.25           Toddlers         Negligible         1.53         0.074         1.31         2.91           Infants         Negligible         1.66         0.081         1.65         3.39           Adult         Not Applicable         25.35         6.93         Applicable         32.28           Children         Not Applicable         30.51         9.12         Not Applicable         39.63           Adult         Not Applicable         1.39         2.31         Not Applicable         3.70           Children         Not         1.67         3.04         Not         4.71	Sub Population         Estimated Systemic Dose (μg/kg bw/day)         Total Dose (μg/kg bw/day)         TSD (μg/kg bw/day)           Inhalation         Dermal         Oral Indirect³         Direct³         Direct³         Direct³         bw/day)           Adult         Negligible         0.10         Not Applicable         0.10         20           Children         Negligible         1.25         Not Applicable         1.25         20           Toddlers         Negligible         1.53         0.074         1.31         2.91         20           Infants         Negligible         1.66         0.081         1.65         3.39         20           Adult         Not Applicable         25.35         6.93         Not Applicable         32.28         70           Children         Not Applicable         30.51         9.12         Not Applicable         39.63         70           Adult         Not Applicable         1.39         2.31         Not Applicable         3.70         20           Children         Not         1.67         3.04         Not         4.71         3.70

a= Hand-to-mouth transfer; b= Mouthing, chewing, sucking

In the risk assessment scenario presented above, the health risk is acceptable since the risk ratios are less than 1 for adults, children, toddlers and infants sleeping under the treated nets and for adults and children washing the treated nets.

Presented in the following table are the ratios for adults and children sleeping under and washing the long-lasting bed nets treated with alpha-cypermethrin.

TSD = For acute exposures = 0.07 mg/kg/day and for repeated exposures = 0.02 mg/kg/day

Table 20. Total	(Dermal + Oral) E	xposure Esti	mates and Ratios	for Sleeping Un	der AND Washing Tr	eated Nets.	
	Sleeping Under	Sleeping Under Net		Net	Total		
Sub Population	Inhalation <sup>a</sup>	Dermal	Dermal	Oral	Systemic Dose	TSD μg/kg /day)	Ratio
	Estimated Syste	emic Maximu	m Dose (μg/kg bw	r/day)	(μg/kg/day)	με/ κε / ααγ /	
Adult	Negligible	0.10	25.35	6.93	32.38	70	0.463
Children	Negligible	1.25	30.51	9.12	40.88	70	0.584
	Sleeping Under	Net	REPEATED Wash	ing Net			
	Estimated Syste	emic TWA Do	se (µg/kg bw/day)				
Adult	Negligible	0.10	1.39	2.31	3.8	20	0.190
Children	Negligible	1.25	1.67	3.04	5.96	20	0.298
TSD = For acute e	xposures = 0.07 m	ng/kg/day an	d for repeated exp	osures = 0.02 r	ng/kg/day		

In the risk assessment scenario presented above, the health risk is acceptable since the risk ratios are less than for adults and children sleeping under the treated nets and washing the treated nets.

Table 21. Expos	Table 21. Exposure Estimates and Risk Ratios – Breast Milk											
Exposure Scenario	Sub Population	Breast Milk Exposure (μg/kg/day)	Acute TSD (μg/kg/day)	Chronic TSD (µg/kg/day)	RATIO							
ACUTE	IVCWDOITI	1.18	70	N/A	0.017							
EXPOSURE (MAXIMUM)	Infants	0.62	70	N/A	0.009							
TWA REPEATED	Newborn	0.142	N/A	20	0.007							
EXPOSURE	Infants	0.074	N/A	20	0.004							

### 4.4 Safety Conclusions

The potential health risk is acceptable for all populations (adults, children, infants and children) sleeping under, for adults and children washing as well as for adults and children sleeping under and washing the treated nets. The risk ratios are < 1 for all populations, routes of exposure (inhalation, dermal and oral) and all activities (sleeping under, washing and sleeping under and washing).

Table 22. Summary of Risk Characterization for Royal Sentry 2.0.			
Activity/Population	Risk Acceptable / Not acceptable		
Sleeping Under LLIN: Inhalation Exposure			
Adult	Negligible		
Children	Negligible		
Toddlers	Negligible		
Infants	Negligible		
Washing LLIN: Acute Conditions			
Adult	Acceptable		
Children	Acceptable		
Washing LLIN: Repeated Conditions			
Adult	Acceptable		
Children	Acceptable		
Sleeping Under AND Washing LLIN Acute Conditions			
Adult	Acceptable		
Children	Acceptable		
Sleeping Under AND Washing LLIN Repeated Conditions			
Adult	Acceptable		
Children	Acceptable		
Sleeping Under Net and Breast Milk			
New Born	Acceptable		
Infants	Acceptable		

The safety component of the dossier is complete. The assessment of the submitted information on safety supports the prequalification of the product.

## 5 Assessment of Efficacy

The primary intention for the use of a pesticide is for the control of a pest or vector, whether resistant or susceptible, rather than for resistance management. Tools which provide effective management of pests or vectors can be used as part of a resistance management plan. For public health pesticides, this is a component of Integrated Vector Management (IVM) which relies on a suite of diverse interventions and implementation of best practices to manage the vector and chemical/behavioral resistance.

A series of studies were provided in the submitted dossier in laboratory and experimental hut settings. These studies were conducted in multiple locations. All studies were evaluated individually, and a summary of the results are provided in the next section.

## 5.1 Summary of Efficacy Study Results

Table 23. Efficacy studies for Royal Sentry 2.0		
BIT020 Phase I	Phase I evaluation of alpha-cypermethrin (Royal Sentry 2.0) long-lasting insecticidal nets compared to Positive	
	Control against susceptible Anopheles gambiae s.s. and pyrethroid-resistant Anopheles arabiensis strains -	
	Ifakara Health Institute, Bagamoyo, Tanzania	
	Laboratory Study:	
	This study presented information on the regeneration time, induced knockdown/mortality, and wash resistance	
	of Royal Sentry 2.0. Three different <i>Anopheles</i> strains were used:	
	1. Pyrethroid susceptible An. gambiae s.s. Ifakara strain	
	2. An. arabiensis Kingani RS strain	
	3. Moderately resistant <i>An. arabiensis</i> Mbita strain.	
	Results:	
	The study was conducted in accordance with the requirements for prequalification.	
	Regeneration Time	
	The regeneration time of Royal Sentry 2.0 is 1 day. The regeneration time was determined as the time required	
	to reach the plateau of mortality in standard cone bioassays.	
	Knockdown and Mortality	
	Royal Sentry 2.0 samples washed 3 times consecutively and then allowed 1 day for regeneration, demonstrated	
	100% knockdown (KD60 min) and mortality (24h) in the susceptible <i>An. gambiae</i> s.s. (Ifakara) strain.	
	Royal Sentry 2.0 samples washed 3 times consecutively and then allowed 1 day for regeneration, demonstrated 86% knockdown (KD60 min) and 89% mortality (24h) in the resistant <i>An. arabiensis</i> Kingani RS strain.	
	Wash Resistance	
	Wash resistance was reported based on standard cone tests for knockdown and mortality.	
	For the pyrethroid susceptible <i>An. gambiae</i> Ifakara strain, 24h mortality rate of 100% was observed at 0, 1, 3, 5 and 10 washes. The 24h mortality declined to 81.3% at 20 washes and 55.0% at 25 washes. Up to 25 washes, Royal Sentry 2.0 showed 100% 60 minutes knockdown.	
	For pyrethroid resistant <i>An. arabiensis</i> Kingani RS strain, 24h mortality rates of 74%, 46% and 60% (0, 1 and 3 washes) were observed. Knockdown rates of 99%, 96%, 90%, 81% (0, 1, 3 and 5 washes) were observed.	
	For the intermediate pyrethroid resistance strain, <i>An. arabiensis</i> Mbita, 24h mortality rates of 90%, 91%, 81% (0 1 and 3 washes) were observed. The knockdown rates were 90%, 98%, 81%, 98% and 90% (0, 1, 3, 5 and 10 washes) were observed.	
	Controls  The negative (untreated) and positive controls (Appropriate prequalified product [alpha-cypermethrin]) results were reported and did not indicate issues with the experimental procedure.	
BIT020 RS P2	Phase II evaluation of alpha-cypermethrin Royal Sentry 2.0	
2.1022.10.2	long-lasting insecticidal nets compared to Positive Control against natural populations of <i>Anopheles arabiensi</i> in experimental huts, Tanzania	
	Ulanga district, south-eastern Tanzania	
	Start (Experimental hut): 23/01/2018	
	End (Experimental hut): 24/04/2018	
	Experimental Hut Study:  This study presented information on the efficacy of Royal Sentry 2.0 (unwashed and washed) in experimental huts.	
	The experimental hut study was conducted in Lupiro village, Ulanga district, south-eastern Tanzania. Local malaria vectors are An. gambiae s.l. with >99.9% An. arabiensis and An. funestus s.l. with >80% An. funestus s.s.	

Wild An. arabiensis are resistant to alpha-cypermethrin (i.e. 24 hours mortality in WHO susceptibility test is 16%).

The study investigated Royal Sentry 2.0 and other LLINs which are under development. Here, the results from the following trials are presented:

- 1. Unwashed Positive Control (Appropriate prequalified product [alpha-cypermethrin])
- 2. 20x Washed Positive Control (Appropriate prequalified product [alpha-cypermethrin])
- 3. Royal Sentry 2.0 unwashed
- 4. Royal Sentry 2.0 20x washed
- 5. Untreated net (Negative Control)

#### Results:

The study was conducted in accordance with the requirements for pregualification.

#### **Threshold Endpoints**

Cone bioassays with Royal Sentry 2.0 unwashed and washed 20x, using fully susceptible *An. gambiae* s.s. Ifakara strain, resulted in 24h mortality rates above 80% and 60 minutes knockdown was above 95%. In the negative controls, the 24h mortality was 0.63%.

Tunnel tests were conducted with the pyrethroid resistant *An. arabiensis* Kingani strain. All net samples (Royal Sentry 2.0 and positive control) exceeded the feeding inhibition threshold of 90%.

#### **Additional Reported Endpoints**

For the unwashed nets, the geometric mean control corrected mortality of wild free-flying mosquitoes at 24h was 14.6% for Royal Sentry 2.0 and 12.2% for the positive control. For the 20x washed net samples control corrected mortality rates were 12.4% for Royal Sentry 2.0 and 12.3% for the positive control. According to the authors of the study the low rates are normal for the area where the trial was conducted due to more exophilic behavior of local mosquito populations.

Blood feeding inhibition was 66.9% for unwashed Royal Sentry 2.0 and 67.9% for the unwashed positive control. For the 20x washed net samples, blood feeding inhibition was 61.7% for Royal Sentry 2.0 and 58.6% for the positive control.

#### CREC/LSHTM1702

Phase 2 experimental hut evaluation of the efficacy and wash resistance of Royal Sentry 2.0 LN against pyrethroid resistant *Anopheles gambiae* sl in Cove, Benin

Start: 11/11/2017 End: 31/03/2018

#### Experimental Hut Study:

This study presented information on the efficacy and wash resistance of Royal Sentry 2.0 in experimental huts.

The local vector population at Cove consists of a mixture of *An. colluzzii* and *An. gambiae* s.s. with the latter being less frequent (approx. 23%). The population shows high phenotypic resistance to pyrethroids.

The Experimental huts at Cove are of the West African design. In the study, 5 huts were used through which 5 different net samples were rotated:

- 6. Unwashed Positive Control (Appropriate prequalified product [alpha-cypermethrin])
- 7. 20x Washed Positive Control (Appropriate prequalified product [alpha-cypermethrin])
- 8. Royal Sentry 2.0 unwashed
- 9. Royal Sentry 2.0 20x washed
- 10. Untreated net (Negative Control)

#### Results:

The study was conducted in accordance with the requirements for prequalification.

#### **Threshold Endpoints**

Cone Bioassays using Susceptible An. gambiae Kisumu strain:

Knockdown and mortality rates were >95% for all samples that were tested. There was no decline in efficacy for Royal Sentry 2.0 and the positive control before and after 20 washes and before and after the hut trial. Negative control mortality rates were not reported.

#### **Additional Reported Endpoints**

Mosquito entry and exiting rate:

Royal Sentry 2.0 did not exhibit any deterrence when compared to the negative control. The positive control exhibited deterrence of 47.6% (unwashed) and 38.7% (20x washed) when compared to the negative control. Exit rates in Royal Sentry 2.0 trials were 60% with unwashed and 56% with 20x washed samples. Exit rates in the positive control were 53% with unwashed and 47% with 20x washed samples.

#### Blood feeding inhibition:

The blood-feeding rate in the negative control was 50%. Blood feeding inhibition rates for Royal Sentry 2.0 were 68% when unwashed and 29% after 20 washes. For the positive control, blood feeding inhibition with the unwashed samples was 34%. This effect declined to 7% when using 20x washed samples.

Mortality in wild free-flying resistant An. gambiae sl mosquitoes:

The mortality in the negative control was 2.4%. For Royal Sentry 2.0 trials, mortality was 26.5% for unwashed samples and 13.9% for 20x washed samples. Mortality in the positive control was 19.4% for unwashed samples and 11.2% for 20x washed samples.

## 5.2 Efficacy Conclusions

Taking into account the entirety of the submitted efficacy studies in lab and experimental hut settings, there is sufficient information to demonstrate that Royal Sentry 2.0 meets the efficacy requirements for pregualification.

The efficacy component of the dossier is complete. The assessment of the submitted information on efficacy supports the prequalification of the product.

## 6 Labelling

The proposed Declaration of Labelling has been reviewed by PQT-VC and found to be consistent with the supporting information.

## 7 Post-Pregualification Commitments

As per the existing WHO Guidelines on the testing of LLINs, the applicant is required to submit results from long-term field trials (Phase 3).

## 8 Pre-Qualification Listing Decision

The review of the dossier submitted for the product Royal Sentry 2.0 has been completed by PQT-VC. The results of the assessments show the product is safe and effective when used according to the directions for use on the label. The product is allowed inclusion on the list of prequalified vector control products.

## 9 References

- Quality
- 1. 2018. Royal Sentry 2.0 Dossier submitted to WHO
- Safety
- 1. JMPR (2006). Joint FAO/WHO Meeting of Pesticide Residue (JMPR). Pesticide Residues in Food-2006. Toxicological Evaluations. Joint Meeting of the FAO Panel of Experts on Pesticide Residues in Food and the Environment and the WHO Core Assessment Group, Rome, Italy 3-12. October 2006.
- 2. TRS, (2018) Toxicology Regulatory Services, Inc. Human Health Risk Assessment for an alphacypermethrin incorporated long lasing insecticidal net: Royale Sentry 2.0 LLIN. Prepared by February 21, 2018. (Appendix M). Toxicological Profile of the long-lasting insecticidal net. (Appendix N).
- USEPA (2012). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Alpha-Cypermethrin Human Health Risk Assessment for New Active Ingredient. DP Barcode D376098. Office of Pesticides Program. 12/21/2017. Available at:
  - https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2012-0185-0005
- 4. USEPA (2016). U.S Environmental Protection Agency. Wolansky Study Data Evaluation Record. DP Barcode: D422817. TXR No. 0057059. Office of Pesticides Program. 4/28/2016. Available at:
- USEPA (2017) U.S Environmental Protection Agency. Cypermethrin, Zeta-cypermethrin, and Alpha-cypermethrin, Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Registration Review. DP Barcode: D424964.
   Office of Pesticides Program. 12/21/2017. Available at:
   https://www.regulations.gov/document?D=EPA-HQ-OPP-2014-0601-0011
- 6. WHO (2018) World Health Organization. A "Generic Risk Assessment Model for Insecticide Treated Nets (GRAM)". 2. ed. Geneva, World Health Organization. Available at <a href="http://www.who.int/whopes/resources/9789241513586">http://www.who.int/whopes/resources/9789241513586</a>).
- Efficacy
- Moore, Sarah and Mbuba, Emmanuel (2018). Phase I evaluation of alpha-cypermethrin (Royal Sentry 2.0) long-lasting insecticidal nets compared to Positive Control against susceptible Anopheles gambiae s.s. and pyrethroid-resistant Anopheles arabiensis strains - Ifakara Health Institute, Bagamoyo, Tanzania
- 2. Moore, Sarah (2018). Phase II evaluation of alpha-cypermethrin Royal Sentry 2.0 long-lasting insecticidal nets compared to Positive Control against natural populations of Anopheles arabiensis in experimental huts, Tanzania Ifakara Health Institute, Bagamoyo, Tanzania
- 3. Ngufor, Corine (2018). Phase 2 experimental hut evaluation of the efficacy and wash resistance of Royal Sentry 2.0 LN against pyrethroid resistant Anopheles gambiae sl in Cove, Benin CREC/LSHTM Collaborative Research Programme, Centre de Recherche Entomologique de Cotonou (CREC), Benin

## **Appendix A: Confidential Business Information**

# APPENDIX B: Alpha-cypermethrin Toxicity Profile (JMPR, 2006; TRS, 2018; USEPA, 2018)

Table 24. Acute Toxicity		
Study Type	Results	GHS Category
Oral	Rat	>2000 mg/kg; >5000 mg/kg bw)
		Aqueous suspension; >57 mg/kg bw (Corn oil)
Dermal	Rat	>2000 mg/kg
Inhalation	Rat	>2.79 mg/L
Eye Irritation	Rabbit	Mild irritant
Skin Irritation	Rabbit	Mild irritant

Table 25. Acute Toxicity- Alpha-cypermethrin Toxicity Profile				
Study Type/ Doses Tested	Results			
90-Day Oral -Rat	NOAEL = 9.3 mg/kg/day			
,	LOAEL = 29.6 mg/kg/day			
M: 0, 1.02, 1.74, 9.3, or 29.6mg/kg/day	Effects: Decreased body weight, body weight gain, decreased food consumption and gait			
F: 0,1.2, 3.8, 11.3 or 35 mg/kg/day	changes			
90-Day Oral – Dog	NOAEL = 2.245mg/kg/day			
, ,	LOAEL = 6.75 mg/kg/day			
0, 0.75, 2.25 or 6.75 mg/kg/day	Effects: Clinical signs (tremors, gait abnormalities, ataxia, agitation, head bobbling, and			
g. g. ,	lip licking)			
21- Day Dermal – Rabbit (TRS, 2018)	NOAEL = 2000 mg/kg/day			
21- Day Dermai – Rabbit (183, 2018)	LOAEL = Not Established			
	Effects: No treatment-related effects at any dose.			
	effects. No freatment-related effects at any dose.			
14- Day Inhalation – Rat (TRS, 2018)	NOAEL = 0.0291 mg/L			
Developmental Toxicity – Rat	Maternal Toxicity NOAEL = 9 mg/kg/day			
,	Maternal Toxicity LOAEL = 15 mg/kg/day			
0, 3, 9 or 15 mg/kg/day	Effects: Decreases in body weight and clinical signs (unsteady gait, piloerection, limb			
0, 3, 3 01 13 mg, kg, ddy	splay and hypersensitivity to sound and touch).			
	splay and hyperscrisitivity to sound and todelly.			
	Developmental Toxicity NOAEL= 9 mg/kg/day			
	Developmental Toxicity LOAEL = 15 mg/kg/day			
	Effects: Decreased fetal body weight			
	Effects. Decreased fetal body weight			
Developmental Toxicity – Rabbit	Maternal Toxicity NOAEL = 15 mg/kg/day			
Beveropmental roxidity Habbit	Maternal Toxicity LOAEL =30 mg/kg/day			
0, 3, 15 or 30 mg/kg/day	Effects: Decreases in body weight and food consumption.			
o, o, 10 o. oog,g, aa,	2. Color 2 con color m 20 dy m c. g. n. a na no con company			
	Developmental Toxicity NOAEL= 30 mg/kg/day			
	Developmental Toxicity LOAEL = Not Established			
	Effects: No treatment-related effects at any dose			
	'			
Reproductive Toxicity – Rat	Parental/Offspring Toxicity NOAEL = 7.5 mg/kg/day			
Reproductive robicity - Nat	Parental/Offspring Toxicity NOAEL = 7.5 mg/kg/day			
0 0 2 5 7 5 or 27 5 mg/kg/dov	5. 5. 7			
0, 0, 2.5, 7.5 or 37.5 mg/kg/day	Effects: Decreased body weight gain, clinical signs, and decreased mean litter weight.			
Chronic Toxicity – Dog	NOAEL = $4.1 \text{ mg/kg/day}$ (M) and $4.29 \text{ mg/kg/day}$ (F)			
M: 0, 2.02, 4.11 or 7.90 mg/kg/day	LOAEL = 7.9  mg/kg/day (M) and $8.45  mg/kg/day (F)$			
F: 0, 2.18, 4.29 or 8.45 mg/kg/day	Effects: Clinical signs (skin reddening, hair loss and tail irritation)			
	I			

Table 25 Acute Tavicity, Alpha cynarmethrin Tavicity Brefile				
Table 25. Acute Toxicity- Alpha-cypermethrin Toxicity Profile  Study Type/ Doses Tested Results				
Combined Chronic Toxicity/Carcinogenicity –	NOAEL = 5 mg/kg/day			
Rat	LOAEL = 50 mg/kg/day			
Nac	Effects: Decreases in body weight and food consumption in both sexes.			
0, 1, 10, 100 or 1000 ppm	No evidence of carcinogenicity			
Equivalent to 0, 0.05, 0.5, 5 or 50 mg/kg/day.	No evidence of carcinogenicity			
Equivalent to 0, 0.03, 0.3, 3 of 30 mg/kg/day.				
Carcinogenicity – Mice	NOAEL = 10.6 mg/kg/day			
	LOAEL = 35.2 mg/kg/day			
0, 30, 100 or 300 ppm	Effects: Decreased body weight, body weight gain and food consumption and clinical			
Equivalent to:	signs.			
M: 0, 3, 10.6 or 35.2 mg/kg/day	No evidence of carcinogenicity.			
F: 0, 3.5, 11.5 or 37.7 mg/kg/day				
1.0, 3.3, 11.3 01 37.7 11.9, 10.9, 10.9				
Gene Mutation	Negative			
Ames Reverse Test				
Gene Mutation	Negative			
Mammalian Cell				
Chromosomal aberration	Negative			
Micronucleus Assay	Not clastogenic			
Unscheduled DNA Synthesis	Negative for induction of UDS			
Dominant lethal (mouse)	Not mutagenic			
Acute Neurotoxicity – Rat	NOAEL = 4 mg/kg			
	LOAEL = 20 mg/kg			
0, 4, 20 or 40mg/kg	Effects: Clinical signs, FOB changes and mortality.			
Immunotoxicity – Rat	NOAEL = 34 mg/kg/day			
	LOAEL = Not Established			
0, 4, 12, or 34 mg/kg/day	Effect: No effects at the highest dose tested.			
Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics - Rat	Regardless of the dose or number of doses, urinary excretion of free and conjugated			
	cyclopropane carboxylic acid occurred			
	primarily within the first 24 hour period after administration each day (30-75% AD). The			
	average excretion during this period			
	considering all subjects (and each day following administration in the repeated dose			
	study) was 43% AD in the single study			
	and 49% AD in the repeated dose study.			
Man Cuidalina	Abs 20 ms/lim/day, aliminal sing/asibaharany (1995) at 15 Mary (19			
Non-Guideline	At >20 mg/kg/day, clinical sign (gait abnormalities, ataxia, tip toe walking, limb dragging)			
Acute Oral Toxicity in Rats – FOB	decreased grip strength and decreased motor activity			
(McDaniel and Moser 1993.)	DMD115D= 7.16 mg/kg			
Non-Guideline (Wolansky Study) Acute Oral – Motor Active	BMDL1SD= 7.16 mg/kg BMD= 11.20 mg/kg			
	5. 5			
Non-Guideline (WIL Study)	BMDL20= 55.9 mg/kg			
Acute Oral -Rat - FOB	BMD= 76.3 mg/kg			