

GMP expectations at antimicrobial manufacturing sites

Stephanie Croft – Technical Officer

Inspection Services Prequalification Unit (PQT) Regulation and Prequalification Department (RPQ) Access to Medicines and Health Products Division (MHP)

Joint Unicef-UNFPA-WHO 1 December 2020 Meeting





1





- **01** Background: The rising concerns with antimicrobial resistance and WHO's involvement
- 02 Outcome of a recent manufacturer's survey
- **03** Way forward / Conclusion

Background



The triggers to consider GMP as a tool to environmental protection to tackle AMR

- AMR was identified as a priority at the WHA since 1998.
- Several WHA resolutions¹ on AMR exist and 1 resolution from 71st UN General Assembly² in 2016
- GPW13 (2019-2023) highlights the urgency of tackling antimicrobial resistance
- UN Ad hoc Interagency Coordinating group on antimicrobial resistance, April 2019 – All are URGED to ACT!

Source 1 : see notes below for full listing. Source 2: :https://www.who.int/antimicrobial-resistance/events/UNGA-meeting-amr-sept2016/en/



Target (for WHO PQT Inspections)

Emissions and fate of antimicrobials in the environment



Source: Schmitt et 334 al., 2017

Excerpts from the literature

World Health Organization

Infection DOI 10.1007/s15010-017-1007-2



ORIGINAL PAPER

Environmental pollution with antimicrobial agents from bulk drug manufacturing industries in Hyderabad, South India, is associated with dissemination of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase and carbapenemase-producing pathogens

Christoph Lübbert^{1,2} · Christian Baars³ · Anil Dayakar⁴ · Norman Lippmann^{2,5} · Arne C. Rodloff^{2,5} · Martina Kinzig⁶ · Fritz Sörgel^{6,7}

Received: 27 February 2017 / Accepted: 8 March 2017 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2017

53rd ECSPP recommendations



Update and recommendations from inspectors' meeting, including those on GMP and environmental issues.

In 2018, the WHO Secretariat sought the Expert Committee's opinions on the **need for revision of GMP to address environmental protection** from emissions when manufacturing pharmaceutical products, and the **role of GMP inspectors** in environmental protection and AMR control.

A pilot project on AMR and environmental control enforced through GMP was viewed as a possibility to start focused surveillance, beginning with a few antibiotics, such as those identified by the WHO Expert Committee on the Selection and Use of Essential Medicines as the antibiotics "RESERVE" group.

The Expert Committee acknowledged the concern about AMR and supported the **preparation of a text** on points to be considered in relation to prevention of AMR. This could **include reference to the role that inspectors** can play during inspections.

Source: 53rd ECSPP report TRS 1019, 2018

54th ECSPP recommendations



In 2019, the Expert Committee adopted the Points-to-consider document entitled *Environmental Aspects of Manufacturing for the Prevention of Antimicrobial Resistance* revised according to the indications provided; and agreed to:

 a study on the practices currently in use at pharmaceutical manufacturing facilities regarding waste and wastewater management (the sites eligible are those participating in WHO Prequalification producing antimicrobials) and on the current controls on antimicrobial levels in effluents and other relevant types of waste. This would be done through the means of a survey.

Steps taken after the 54th ECSPP



- 1. Publication of "*Points to consider for manufacturers and inspectors: environmental aspects of manufacturing for the prevention of antimicrobial resistance*" (May 2020, Technical report series 1025, Annex 6 https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/trs-1025annex-6-manufacturers-inspectors-environmental-aspectsmanufacturing-amr).
- A survey was drafted and circulated to API manufacturers of antimicrobials participating in WHO Prequalification (July to September 2020).
- 3. Data from the survey was analyzed and compiled in a report.

Overview of the Points to Consider document



Annex 6

Points to consider for manufacturers and inspectors: environmental aspects of manufacturing for the prevention of antimicrobial resistance

1.	Introduction and scope		
	1.1 Background	144	
	1.2 Purpose	146	
	1.3 Target audience	147	
2.	Glossary	147	
3.	Review of the environmental aspects of good manufacturing		
	practices	148	
4.	Expectations for manufacturers of antimicrobials	152	
References			

Overview of the survey



Purpose:

- Verify implementation of recommendations in the Points-to-Consider document on waste and wastewater management and on the current controls for antimicrobial levels in effluents and other relevant types of waste;
- Sensitize manufacturers of antimicrobials as to WHO's new expectations on the practices currently in use at pharmaceutical manufacturing facilities;

Purpose of the survey



- Emphasize the importance of all aspects of GMP implementation, taking into account specific clauses of GMP that may not have a direct impact on product quality but will safeguard the environment through their enforcement; and
- Notify manufacturers of antimicrobials that these items may be covered during the next scheduled on-site inspection of their manufacturing facilities.

Note: In order to complete the survey, pharmaceutical manufacturers were advised to read it in conjunction with the WHO policy document *Points to consider for manufacturers and inspectors: environmental aspects of manufacturing practices for the prevention of antimicrobial resistance (1).*

Source: Comments on working document QAS/19.802

Survey for Pharmaceutical Manufacturers of Antimicrobials

This survey shall be read in conjunction with the WHO "Points to consider for manufacturers and inspectors: environmental aspects of manufacturing practices for the prevention of antimicrobial resistance" (WHO Technical Report Series, N 1025, 2020, Annex 6).

This survey is intended to collect information on the type of waste being generated by manufacturers in the WHO Prequalification programme. Please refer to the article entitled "Environmental Aspects of Manufacturing for the Prevention of Antimicrobial Resistance", WHO Drug Information, Vol 33, No. 4, 2019 (https://www.who.int/medicines/publications/druginformation/issues/DrugInformation2019_Vol33-4/en/) for more details on this initiative.

Kindly note that your responses to this survey might be verified during the next onsite inspection. Results of this survey may be used for publications by the WHO, without publishing any information on the name or address of the manufacturer.

Thank you for your participation in the survey. There are 24 questions in this survey.

Identification

1. Company name and manufacturing site name. *

Please write your answer here:



Survey circulated: July to September 2020

- N of manufacturers who received the survey: 38
- N of manufacturers who responded: 30







1. Geographical location of respondents





2. Antimicrobial APIs manufactured and their EML classification



	Access group optibiotics
	None
	non-EML
	Reserve group antibiotics
Ba	ur legend
	Antimalarial medicines
	Antituberculosis medicines
	Lower urinary tract infections
	Serious bacterial infection
* a	Iso Antipneumocystosis and antitoxoplasmosis medicines
**	also Antileprosy medicines
***	also Medicines for prevention of HIV-related opportunistic infections



3. Other antimicrobial APIs also made by the same manufacturers

WHO Antibiotics Classification (Aware)	Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients	Number of sites	%*
Access group antibiotics	Chloramphenicol, Clindamycin, Nitrofurantoin	3	9%
Watch group antibiotics	Azithromycin, Ciprofloxacin, Cefuroxim, Cefixime axetil, Ofloxacin, Tazobactam, Vancomycin Hydrochloride, Piperacillin	16	53%
None – Anti-infective	Erythromycin	4	14%
None - Antifungal	Voriconazole	1	3%
None - Intestinal anthelmintics	Mebendazole	1	3%
None - Antifungal- antileishmaniasis	Amphotericin B	1	3%
None	Bacitracin, Colistimethate sodium, Cephalexin, Cefaclor, Cefpodoxime proxetil, Cefprozil, Cefadroxil, Cefdinir, Dalbavancin, Daptomycin, Dihydrostreptomycin sulfate, Enrofloxacin, Fenticonazole Nitrate, Milbemycin oxime, Minocycline HCI, Rifaximin, Spiramycin, Teicoplanin, Tigecycline, Tioconazole, Tobramycin,	21	61%



4. Which statement reflects the company's current understanding of the potential impact of releasing antimicrobial residues into water streams/the environment?



should be
withinshould not
be releaseddo not pose
any riskthe risk is
lowimprove the
wellbeing of
the overall
ecosystemshould be
withinat any costIowwellbeing of
the overall
ecosystem





5. Main sources of waste released during stages of production of antimicrobial APIs

53%





6. Treatment/disposal methods of antimicrobial waste



26/11/2020 | GMP and AMR



Outcome of the survey



World Health Organization



8. Source of data re limits being applied during testing

National requirements 60% **Published literature** 3%

Outcome of the survey





9. Criteria used for selection of subcontractors for waste





10. Manufacturer's opinions of their own understanding and compliance levels

Low: these GMP clauses are not fully understood, their implementation and verification requires significant investment and resources

Medium: there is overall a good understanding of the GMP clauses relating to environmental protection and AMR; approximately half of them are implemented and verified according to the GMP principles

High: all clauses are understood, implemented and verified according to the GMP principles





This survey revealed that:

- Several manufacturers are operating under the principle of zero-liquid discharge or use recycling and/or incineration as means of reducing environmental contamination with antimicrobials.
 - Note: When recycling is used, there may be the need to verify that the contamination is still adequately contained.
- Most of the manufacturers surveyed were unable to provide a clear scientific rationale or justification for their decontamination procedures.



This survey revealed that:

 Most were unable to demonstrate that the concentration of antimicrobials in wastewater from the manufacturing processes at their site did not exceed scientifically derived discharge targets for antimicrobial residues.



Way forward

Next steps:

- Performing a gap analysis between what is covered by environmental inspectors and GMP inspectors
- Training of API GMP inspectors and regulators (December 7, 9:30 -15:30 Geneva time, Registration still possible at: <u>https://who.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_BfHClr6eQsm44k</u> <u>jM5NzrSQ</u> or email <u>crofts@who.int</u>)



Way forward

Next steps:

- Creation of inspection tools (Aide-Memoire to cover waste management aspects during GMP inspections)
- Working with manufacturers and providing training on new expectations and how to improve waste management practices for AMR



WHO

20, Avenue Appia 1211 Geneva Switzerland

Thank you

Stephanie Croftl Technical Officer | MVP/RHT/PQT/INSP