

Elasticities of Demand for Cigarette and Waterpipe Tobacco Products in Three Eastern Mediterranean Countries

Ali Chalak
Rima Nakkash
Mohammed Jawad
Yousef Khader
Niveen M.E. Abu-Rmeileh
Aya Mostafa
Ruba Abla
Jordan Louviere
Ramzi G. Salloum

Presented by:

Dr. Ali Chalak

DECLARATIONS

- This research presentation is a part of a series of research papers produced by the Eastern Mediterranean Consortium on the Economics of Waterpipe Tobacco Smoking (ECON-WTS).
- The consortium is funded by International Development Research Centre (IDRC)
- The presenter declares no conflict of interest.

BACKGROUND

- Waterpipe tobacco smoking is a traditional tobacco use method that originated in the Eastern Mediterranean Region and has had a global resurgence in recent years.
- Waterpipe smoking rates in the region are among the highest worldwide, with the lowest prices of tobacco products among all WHO regions.
- Tobacco product prices are an important factor when considering that decreasing affordability is the most effective measure to reduce the uptake of smoking among young people

BACKGROUND (cont'd)

- The WHO Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) recommends that taxation policy should take into account price elasticity of demand in order to reduce consumption and prevalence of tobacco use
- The FCTC also recommends that all tobacco products should be taxed comparably to avoid unintended consequences, such as product substitution or increases in illicit trade
- Available estimates in the EMR of adult demand elasticities for smoking products are poorly understood and often limited to cigarette smoking.

AIM

- Present recent and comprehensive own- and cross-price elasticities of demand for cigarettes and Waterpipe tobacco products in three Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMR) countries:
 - Lebanon
 - Jordan
 - Palestine
- Discuss the implications of these results to taxation and other fiscal policies aimed at tobacco control in the EMR



METHODS

STUDY POPULATION

- Study based on survey that included:
 - HH questionnaire
 - Volumetric Choice Experiment (VCE) – core exercise
- Data were collected using nationally-representative household samples of adults (18+ years old) in Lebanon, Jordan, and Palestine
- Participants were selected using a multistage cluster sampling approach with probability-proportional-to-size random selection method.
- In each country, the sample of households was chosen in two stages:
 1. selecting well-defined geopolitical clusters within each governorate
 2. selecting housing units within each cluster.
- Participants were enrolled from all 8, 12 and 9 governorates in Lebanon, Jordan the West Bank, Palestine, respectively

STUDY PROCEDURES

- Study staff consented participants and used electronic tablets to administer the survey
- The HH questionnaire:
 - Assessed sociodemographic characteristics + cigarette and WP smoking behaviors
 - Based on prior validated surveys
- Questionnaire pre-tested using cognitive interviews to ensure respondent comprehension.
- Study protocols were approved by the IRB's of the :
 - American University of Beirut (Lebanon)
 - Jordan University of Science and Technology (Jordan)
 - Birzeit University (Palestine).

EXPERIMENTAL PROTOCOL

- VCEs offer the advantages of:
 - understanding demand for products in the lack/absence of actual market data
 - avoiding endogeneity problems inherent in actual market data when available through the randomization of attribute levels
- By simultaneously offering multiple distinct volumetric choices b/w competing products, VCEs allow for the estimation of a complete set of:
 - own-price elasticities
 - cross-price elasticities

EXAMPLE CHOICE SET

Based on the following prices, think about the number of cigarettes and waterpipe products you would purchase?

8-way choices

	(1) Cigarettes premium	(2) Cigarettes discount	(3) WP tobacco premium	(4) WP tobacco discount	(5) Non-flavored WP tobacco	(6) WP home delivery	(7) WP café session premium	(8) WP café session discount
	Pack of 20	Pack of 20	250g pack	250g pack	250g pack	1 WP	1 WP	1 WP
Price	LBP2,500	LBP750	LBP13,500	LBP10,250	LBP20,000	LBP7,500	LBP15,000	LBP7,500
Quantity	__	__	__	__	__	__	__	__

Was replaced with Roll your own cigarettes in Palestine

Each product varied according to 4 price levels, the base price reflecting current average market prices in each country, each price level reflecting a 50% incremental increase

ECONOMETRIC ANALYSIS

- Zero-Inflated Poisson (ZIP) regression to account for excess of zero counts/censoring
- For any tobacco product, the model has two parts
 - Logit model for predicting excess zeros
 $f \{ \text{sociodemographics} + \text{current smoking status} \}$
 - Poisson count model
 $f \{ 1 \times \text{own-price} + 7 \times \text{cross-prices} + \text{income} \}$
- In each country \rightarrow 8 x ZIP models corresponding to the 8 products under examination
- Log(price) coefficients \rightarrow coefficients interpreted as own- and cross-price elasticities



RESULTS

SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS

- The survey was completed by a total of:
 - 1,680 respondents in Lebanon (50% female)
 - 1,925 in Jordan (44.6% female)
 - 1,679 in Palestine (50% female).
- Substantially larger % of current general smokers in Lebanon (70.9%) vs. Jordan (40.2%) or Palestine (36.8%)
- Pattern most pronounced in current WP smoking:
 - Lebanon (39.5%) more than 3x Jordan (11%) and Palestine (12.9%)

SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS (cont'd)

- Age distribution exhibited similar patterns in all three countries:
 - nearly 2/3 of respondent aged 45 or less
- Lebanon stood out in terms of its higher proportion of full-time employment:
 - 44.5% compared to 34.4% in Jordan and 34.0% in Palestine
- As for education, the proportion of respondents with high education was highest in Jordan (25%) followed by Lebanon (20.7%) and Palestine (16.1%)

MONTHLYIZED STATED PURCHASES OF TOBACCO PRODUCTS

	Lebanon (N=13,440)		Jordan (N=15,400)		Palestine (N=13,432)	
Tobacco product	Mean	% Null	Mean	% Null	Mean	% Null
Premium cigarettes	4.98	89.6%	3.90	90.7%	3.67	92.8%
Discount cigarettes	14.24	75.6%	6.11	86.4%	1.85	96.4%
Roll-your-own cigarettes	-	-	-	-	0.56	99.5%
Premium waterpipe tobacco	7.16	83.6%	1.03	96.6%	1.13	97.0%
Discount waterpipe tobacco	6.30	86.3%	0.54	97.6%	0.11	99.4%
Non-flavored waterpipe tobacco	0.81	98.6%	0.02	99.5%	-	-
Waterpipe tobacco home delivery	1.89	94.6%	0.01	99.5%	0.08	99.5%
Premium waterpipe café	0.40	89.4%	0.08	98.4%	0.12	97.7%
Discount waterpipe café	0.45	90.1%	0.16	98.1%	0.21	96.2%

Lebanon stated larger purchases of all 8 cigarette and waterpipe products

This was driven by the fact that respondents in Lebanon exhibited the lowest proportion of null stated purchases across all products

OWN- PRICE ELASTICITIES

Tobacco product	Lebanon	Jordan	Palestine
Premium cigarettes	-1.157***	-1.080***	-1.042***
Discount cigarettes	-0.639***	-0.719***	-1.209***
Roll-your-own cigarettes	-	-	-0.065
Premium WP tobacco	-1.949***	-0.601***	0.196*
Discount WP tobacco	-1.700***	-0.915***	-0.650**
Non-flavored WP tobacco	0.095	0.816***	-
WP tobacco home delivery	-1.869***	0.104	-0.379
Premium WP café	-2.312***	-0.674***	-1.120***
Discount WP café	-1.699***	-0.335**	-0.291**

*** p < .01; ** p < .05; * p < 0.10.

FURTHER INSIGHTS & DISCUSSION

LEBANON

Compared to previous estimates (Salti et al., 2015):

- Price elasticity for **premium cigarettes (-1.2)** > published elasticity for **imported (i.e., typically premium) cigarettes (-0.2)**
- Price elasticity of **discount cigarettes (-0.6)** < published elasticity for **local (i.e., typically discount) cigarettes (-1.5)**
- Price elasticity of **premium WP (-1.4)** \approx published elasticity for **WP tobacco (-1.9)**
- **Cross-price elasticity** estimates between **WP and cigarettes** were ≈ 0 , consistent with Salti et al.

JORDAN

Compared to Sweis and Chaloupka (2014):

- Sweis and Chaloupka do not differentiate between premium and discount cigarettes
- Price elasticity for **discount cigarettes (-0.7)** \approx to published elasticity for **cigarettes (-0.6)**
- Price elasticity for **premium cigarettes (-1.1)** > published elasticity for **cigarettes (-0.6)**

IN GENERAL

- **Cross-price elasticities** between **store-purchased WP** tobacco and **WP café smoking** sessions is weak and inconsistent
→ not close substitutes
- Estimates in this study are **broadly consistent** with previous ones but differences may be attributed to **differences in methodology and temporal contexts**

CONCLUSION

- First study to offer robust information on the economic relationship between cigarette and WP smoking in EMR countries where both products are highly-prevalent
- Strong evidence that raising tobacco taxes could significantly reduce tobacco use in the EMR while increasing government revenues.
- Timely policy-relevant data to evaluate the potential effects of taxes and other fiscal tools in the 3 countries + potentially extrapolate to other countries in the region:
 - Tobacco consumption
 - Public revenues
 - Substitution between cigarettes and WP products under price increases

REFERENCES

¹ Maziak W, Taleb ZB, Bahelah R, et al. The global epidemiology of waterpipe smoking. *Tob Control* 2015;24 Suppl 1:i3-i12. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2014-051903 [published Online First: 2014/10/10]

² El-Awa F, Bettcher D, Al-Lawati JA, et al. The status of tobacco control in the Eastern Mediterranean Region: progress in the implementation of the MPOWER measures. *East Mediterr Health J* 2020;26(1):102-09. doi: 10.26719/2020.26.1.102 [published Online First: 2020/02/12]

³ Salti N, Chaaban J, Nakkash R, et al. The effect of taxation on tobacco consumption and public revenues in Lebanon. *Tob Control* 2015;24(1):77-81. doi: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2012-050703 [published Online First: 2013/06/22]

⁴ Sweis NJ, Chaloupka FJ. The economics of tobacco use in Jordan. *Nicotine Tob Res* 2014;16 Suppl 1:S30-6. doi: 10.1093/ntr/ntt058 [published Online First: 2013/06/08]

⁵ World Health Organization. WHO global report on trends in prevalence of tobacco smoking 2000-2025: World Health Organization 2018.