

1. Vision Framework for the 2nd Gimhae City Age–Friendly City Plan

- The vision for the 2nd Gimhae City Age–Friendly City Plan is “**A Happy Age–Friendly City for All, Gimhae,**” and consists of three major goals and eight domains as outlined below.
 - The three major goals are established as: “Creating a High–Quality and Safe Living Environment for All,” “A Warm Community Where Generations Are Connected,” and “Reliable Care and a Stable Aged Life.”
 - The domains were restructured by shifting from the Phase 1 domains to the eight domains proposed by the WHO.
 - 1. Outdoor Spaces and Buildings
 - 2. Transportation
 - 3. Housing
 - 4. Social Participation and Leisure
 - 5. Respect and Social Inclusion
 - 6. Utilization of Human Resources
 - 7. Communication and Information
 - 8. Community Support and Health Services

[Figure 1] Vision Framework for the Development of the 2nd Gimhae City Age-Friendly City



2. Roadmap for Gimhae City’s Age–Friendly City Projects (Draft)

1) Domain 1: Outdoor Spaces and Buildings (8 Projects)

<Table 1> Roadmap for Outdoor Spaces and Buildings Projects

No.	Project Name	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
1-1	■ Rural Renovation Project	◎	◎	–	–	–
1-2	■ Rural and Fishing Village Development Project	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
1-3	■ Operation of Hoehyeon Jiggi Village Community Services	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
1-4	■ “Vibrant Gayatteul” Rural Village Development Project	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
1-5	■ Safe Alleyway Development Project Using CPTED Techniques	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
1-6	■ Asbestos Survey Service for Senior Center Buildings in Gimhae City	◎	–	–	–	–
1-7	■ Designation and Improvement of Senior Protection Zones	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
1-8	■ Resolution of Daily Life Inconveniences and Creation of Safe Streets	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎

2) Domain 2: Transportation Convenience (7 Projects)

<Table 2> Roadmap for Transportation Convenience Projects

No.	Project Name	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
2-1	■ Installation and Maintenance of Sidewalks for a Convenient Pedestrian Environment	○	○	○	○	○
2-2	■ Introduction of Eco-Friendly Low-Floor Buses	○	○	○	○	○
2-3	■ Urban Transportation Model Support Project	○	○	○	○	○
2-4	■ Call Taxi and Voucher Taxi Support to Guarantee Mobility Rights for the Transportation Vulnerable	○	○	○	○	○
2-5	■ Gyeongnam-Style Public Transportation Fare Support Project (Gyeongnam K-Pass)	○	○	○	○	○
2-6	■ Transportation Fare Support for Elderly Drivers Returning Their Driver's Licenses	○	○	○	○	○
2-7	■ Operation of the "Bravo Happy Taxi" Program	○	○	○	○	○

3) Domain 3: Housing (5 Projects)

<Table 3> Roadmap for Housing Stability Projects

No.	Project Name	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
3-1	■ Customized (On-Demand) House Lease Program	◎	-	-	-	-
3-2	■ Operation of Senior Care-Safe Housing (Gaya leumchae)	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
3-3	■ Electrical Facility Improvement Project for Low-Income Households	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
3-4	■ Gas Shutoff Device (Timer Cock) Distribution Project	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
3-5	■ Climate Crisis Support Project for Vulnerable Groups and Areas	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎

4) Domain 4: Leisure and Social Participation (10 Projects)

<Table 4> Roadmap for Leisure and Social Participation Projects

No.	Project Name	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
4-1	■ Construction and Renovation of Senior Centers	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
4-2	■ Support for the Operation of Senior Centers	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
4-3	■ Operation of Senior Colleges	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
4-4	■ Adult Literacy Education Support Project	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
4-5	■ Gimhae City Living Culture Lifelong Learning Center "Active Middle-Aged Lifelong Learning Blue Jeans School"	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
4-6	■ Promotion of Senior Welfare Center Program Operations	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
4-7	■ Support for Women's Welfare Centers	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
4-8	■ Promotion of Senior Center Revitalization Projects	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
4-9	■ Promotion of Daily Life Sports for Seniors	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
4-10	■ Construction of the Gimhae Senior Welfare Center	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎

5) Domain 5: Respect and Social Inclusion (6 Projects)

<Table 5> Roadmap for Respect and Social Inclusion Projects

No.	Project Name	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
5-1	■ Theater Education Service Project for Children and Older Adults	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
5-2	■ Pilot Project for the Prevention and Management of Lonely Deaths	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
5-3	■ Support for Senior Celebration Events	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
5-4	■ Senior Welfare Promotion Project (Social Welfare Fund Public Grant Program)	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
5-5	■ Expansion and Operation of "Hyo Practice" Hygiene Businesses	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
5-6	■ Support for the Three-Generation Hyo-Dream Allowance	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎

6) Domain 6: Utilization of Human Resources (6 Projects)

<Table 6> Roadmap for the Utilization of Human Resources Projects

No.	Project Name	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
6-1	■ Senior Employment and Social Participation Support Project	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
6-2	■ Career-Based Job Program for Middle-Aged Adults	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
6-3	■ Support Project for Career-Interrupted Women	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
6-4	■ Operation of the Middle-Aged Technology Startup Center	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
6-5	■ "4050 Life RE-START" (Certification Exam Fee Support) Project	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
6-6	■ "5060 Life RE-FLY" (Certification Acquisition Support) Project	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎

7) Domain 7: Communication and Information (5 Projects)

<Table 7> Roadmap for Communication and Information Projects

No.	Project Name	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
7-1	■ Formation and Operation of the Age-Friendly City Monitoring Group	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
7-2	■ Citizen Information Literacy Education	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
7-3	■ Book Start Book Package Support Project	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
7-4	■ Mobile Safety Education Program	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
7-5	■ Suicide Prevention Hotline and Outreach Counseling Services	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎

8) Domain 8: Health and Community Care (25 Projects)

<Table 8> Roadmap for Health and Community Care Projects

No.	Project Name	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
8-1	■ Cardio-Cerebrovascular Disease Prevention and Management Project	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
8-2	■ Massage Service Project for the Visually Impaired	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
8-3	■ AI-IoT-Based Senior Health Management Pilot Project	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
8-4	■ Operation of the Eastern Health Living Support Center	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
8-5	■ Operation of the Juchon Health Support Center (Community Health Playground)	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
8-6	■ Mobile ICT-Based Health Care Center	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
8-7	■ Free Fluoride Application and Scaling for Seniors	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
8-8	■ Denture and Implant Support Project for Seniors	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
8-9	■ Donation Hearing Aid Support for Low-Income Seniors	◎	—	—	—	—
8-10	■ Operation of Family Centers	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
8-11	■ Addiction Prevention and Management Project	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎
8-12	■ Support for the Operation of Free Senior Meal Centers	◎	◎	◎	◎	◎

8-13	■ Establishment and Operation of the Social Welfare Meal Management Support Center	○	○	○	○	○
8-14	■ Meal Delivery Service for Homebound and Malnourished Seniors	○	○	○	○	○
8-15	■ Support for the Operation of Dementia Care Centers	○	○	○	○	○
8-16	■ Support for Elderly-Headed Households	○	○	○	○	○
8-17	■ Provision of the Basic Pension	○	○	○	○	○
8-18	■ Customized Care Service Support for Seniors	○	○	○	○	○
8-19	■ Operation of the Gimhae-Style Integrated Community Care Project for Seniors	○	○	○	○	○
8-20	■ Operation of the Gimhae Municipal Nursing Home	○	○	○	○	○
8-21	■ Promotion and Information Provision for Long-Term Care Institutions	○	○	○	○	○
8-22	■ Operation of the Gimhae City Citizen Safety Insurance	○	○	○	○	○
8-23	■ Emergency Safety Support Service for Elderly Living Alone and Persons with Disabilities	○	○	○	○	○
8-24	■ Treatment and Recovery Program for Victims of Domestic Violence	○	○	○	○	○
8-25	■ Medical Expense Support for Victims of Domestic Violence	○	○	○	○	○

3. Establishment of Evaluation Indicators

- Evaluation measures for the development of Gimhae City as an age-friendly city are established by categorizing evaluation methods (quantitative evaluation ① / qualitative evaluations ②, ③) and evaluation bodies (internal evaluation ①, ② / external evaluation ③).

- Project-by-project evaluation (quantitative + internal evaluation)

- Evaluation criteria consist of four categories: Achieved, In Progress, and Revised.

<Table 9> Project-Specific (Quantitative) Evaluation Criteria

Category	Detailed Description
Achieved (●)	Cases in which 100% or more of the target outcomes have been achieved
In Progress (◐)	Cases in which the project is being implemented to achieve the target outcomes
Insufficient (○)	Cases in which the project has not been implemented or is judged to be difficult to proceed
Revised (▷)	Cases in which the target outcomes are revised

- Organization-Wide Evaluation (Qualitative + Internal Evaluation): Application of the Management by Objectives (MBO) Approach

- Evaluation of the achievement level of project objectives and goals for the implementation year based on annual plans
 - Comprehensive evaluation and review using indicators such as the adequacy of policy content, appropriateness of the implementation process, degree of goal achievement, and comparison between planned budgets and actual execution performance
 - Evaluation indicators consist of both qualitative and quantitative measures, with some indicators linked to multi-source evaluation metrics (project-specific evaluation results and perceived effectiveness evaluation results)

<Table 10> Evaluation Criteria at the Organization–Wide Level

Evaluation Area	Evaluation Indicator	Detailed Evaluation Indicator (Score by Item)
Completeness of the Project Plan (3 indicators / 20 points)	<p style="text-align: center;">Linkage with Higher–Level Laws and Related Plans</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2 points 4 points 6 points 8 points 10 points</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> ----- ----- ----- ----- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree</p>	10 points
	<p style="text-align: center;">Objectivity of Project Selection</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 points 2 points 3 points 4 points 5 points</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> ----- ----- ----- ----- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree</p>	5 points
	<p style="text-align: center;">Adequacy of Administrative and Financial Support Plans</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1 points 2 points 3 points 4 points 5 points</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> ----- ----- ----- ----- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree</p>	5 points
Appropriateness of the Implementation Process (3 indicators / 30 points)	<p style="text-align: center;">Adequacy of Budget Input and Performance Indicator Calculation</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2 points 4 points 6 points 8 points 10 points</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> ----- ----- ----- ----- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree</p>	10 points
	<p style="text-align: center;">Appropriateness of Implementation Procedures</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2 points 4 points 6 points 8 points 10 points</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> ----- ----- ----- ----- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree</p>	10 points
	<p style="text-align: center;">Adequacy of Monitoring</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2 points 4 points 6 points 8 points 10 points</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> ----- ----- ----- ----- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">Strongly disagree Disagree Neutral Agree Strongly agree</p>	10 points

■ Policy Perception Evaluation (Qualitative + External Evaluation)

- The policy perception survey is measured through external evaluation (citizens and older adults) and is classified as a qualitative evaluation in that it uses a Likert scale.
- The evaluation covers the eight domains proposed by the WHO.
- Responses are measured on a five–point scale: “Strongly disagree,” “Disagree,” “Neutral,” “Agree,” and “Strongly agree.”

- The policy perception survey is conducted every two years, and surveys should be administered in a manner similar to a panel survey so that long-term trends can be compared and reviewed.